



**City of Chesapeake  
Neighborhood  
Quality of Life Study**

**Chesapeake**  
VIRGINIA

**2006**



# **City of Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Study**

Prepared for

the Citizens of Chesapeake, Virginia

in cooperation with the  
Chesapeake Neighborhood Services Department

Chesapeake Human Services Department  
Chesapeake Health Department  
Chesapeake Information Technology Department  
Chesapeake Planning Department  
Chesapeake Police Department  
Chesapeake Public Works Department  
Chesapeake Redevelopment and Housing Authority  
and  
Chesapeake Public Schools

by

Metropolitan Studies Group  
University of North Carolina at Charlotte  
July 1, 2006



The Metropolitan Studies Group of the University of North Carolina at Charlotte was organized in 2000. It is the community outreach and engagement arm of the University. Directed by Dr. Owen J. Furuseth, Metropolitan Studies pursues innovative policy solutions and strategic planning for local governments and community-based non-profits. Mr. Thomas Ludden is the technical services leader in the unit.

## Table of Contents

Introduction .....	1
Defining Quality of Life.....	3
Geographic Units .....	5
Methods .....	8
Developing, Sustaining, and Revitalizing Neighborhoods .....	9
Citywide Quality of Life Results .....	11
Four Dimensions of Quality of Life.....	16
Social Dimension .....	17
Crime Dimension .....	19
Physical Dimension.....	21
Economic Dimension .....	23
Appendix A: Neighborhood Profiles: User Guide.....	A-1
Appendix B: Neighborhood Profiles: .....	B-1
Appendix C: NSA Lookup.....	C-1

## Tables

Table 1. Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Variables .....	4
Table 2. 2006 NSA Quality of Life Groupings.....	12

## Figures

Figure 1. Neighborhood Statistical Area (NSA) Boundaries .....	6
Figure 2. NSA Identification .....	7
Figure 3. 2006 Quality of Life Groupings.....	13
Figure 4. NSA Identification .....	14
Figure 5. Social Dimension Groupings .....	18
Figure 6. Crime Dimension Groupings .....	20
Figure 7. Physical Dimension Groupings.....	22
Figure 8. Economic Dimension Groupings .....	24

## Introduction

The City of Chesapeake provides opportunities for people to live in many different environments, varying from the traditional neighborhoods of South Norfolk, to the open countryside of Southern Chesapeake. The city faces a challenging task of meeting the different needs of divergent communities with the diverse character of these wide ranging neighborhoods. This study, the “Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Study”, was developed as a tool to aid community residents, elected officials, and local government staff. It provides the opportunity to take a closer look at the critical individual issues that impact different neighborhoods. Collaboration to develop plans and strategies that meet neighborhood needs and citywide concerns is essential. The goal of this study is to provide a tool that evaluates Chesapeake’s neighborhoods in terms of long-term sustainability.



In 2004, the City Manager appointed a Steering Committee to guide the development of this project. The members of the Committee represented key public agencies and departments that provide critical services and infrastructure to Chesapeake residents. Over the past 20 months, the Steering Committee members offered valuable insights into technical data and helped the consultants better understand the community’s concerns and local quality of life issues.

One of the first tasks of the project was to determine how the community could be grouped to create the Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs). Using existing subdivision and



community development information, the Steering Committee delineated 172 NSAs. The NSAs are larger than traditional socially constructed neighborhoods. However, they are sized to permit the collection of statistically accurate information. In this way, the NSAs are building blocks for measuring quality of life.

Next, the Steering Committee developed a comprehensive list of analytical variables to be used in classifying and measuring quality

of life. Over 59 variables were initially considered. Of these, 23 variables were found to be technically feasible for data collection and analysis.

In collecting the information, every effort was made to gather the most current data that was available. In some cases, this meant using information that was two years old. This is a limitation that is inherent in a project that involves the use of large, complex data sets where updates are difficult and/or costly to carry out. The age of these information sources does not detract the value of the information, especially when it is paired with related information to help understand complex community issues.

At the conclusion of the process, the 23 variables were statistically analyzed to assess the neighborhood-scale livability in the NSAs. These research findings represent a baseline of information that permit the present-day examination of neighborhood-level quality of life, as well as, lay the groundwork for future analyses. Indeed, this study is intended to serve as a benchmark. It is the first step in an ongoing effort to monitor progress toward achieving the goals of sustaining and revitalizing Chesapeake's neighborhoods.

This report presents the entire neighborhood quality of life study. It is intended to be a reader-friendly document that lays out the research process, the findings, and the larger set of information that contributed to the study conclusions.

The goal of this report is to increase public awareness and understanding of critical quality of life issues and challenges facing the City of Chesapeake; and to foster collaboration and discussion around finding solutions. By working together, citizens, elected officials, businesses, community groups, and staff can create stronger neighborhoods throughout Chesapeake.



## Defining Quality of Life

Quality of Life can mean many things to different people. One of the most critical challenges is how to correctly and efficiently measure the concept. Many academics and planners maintain that in order for a community to enjoy a high level of quality of life, residents should feel safe from crime, live in affordable and safe housing, and should have access to good quality education and employment. Indeed, these are basic expectations for a livable community. They transcend economic status, age, race, or ethnicity, household composition, or any other demographic marker.



Beyond the basic factors there are many more subjective ideas, often framed by local or regional constructs, about what makes a neighborhood a good place to live. These ideas often revolve around the structure of a neighborhood or the lifestyle of the residents. Urban, suburban, or rural settings may translate into different scales or different ideas about what constitutes quality of life.

Despite the challenges, many cities and regions throughout North

America and Europe are developing locally-based measures to assess quality of life. Studies such as the *Providence Neighborhood Fact Book*, *Jacksonville Quality-of-Life Report*, *Sustainable Indicators in Seattle*, *Oregon Benchmarks*, and the *Charlotte Neighborhood Quality of Life Study* are commonly cited prototypes. The Washington, DC-based Urban Institute has been working with a select group of U.S. cities on a “National Neighborhood Indicators Project” which compiles and documents the use of additional neighborhood-level evaluation systems around the U.S. Taken together, over 200 American communities have examined or implemented quality of life studies. Some have collected measures of quality of life incorporating local, environmental, social, and economic conditions. But most communities have relied on published data from the U.S. Census to measure quality of life.

The framework of quality of life used in this project is multi-faceted and complex. It employs a wide variety of local-based information that is integrated to a unified framework. The choice of quality of life measures is centered on factors that are important to Chesapeake residents. Thus, the Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life research model defines neighborhood quality of life as the intersection between social well-being, community design or layout, crime, and economic vitality. In all, 23 analytical variables comprise this quality of life measure. In addition, six observational variables were collected. These are variables requested by cooperating government departments in order to help them meet unit goals and missions. These variables are listed on Table 1. A detailed description of each variable is also presented in Appendix A.

**Table 1**  
**Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Variables**

***Social Dimension***

Percent of Persons over Age 64  
Percent of Persons 5-19  
Infant Wellness Index\*  
Percent of Child Welfare Cases  
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF  
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps  
Youth Opportunity Index

***Physical Dimension***

Percent Home Ownership  
Percent Neighborhood Residential\*  
Percent Residential Apartment Units  
Percent of Single Family Dwelling Older than 50 Years  
Percent Code Enforcement  
Sidewalk Index  
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation  
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail  
Percent of Persons with Access to Park and Recreation  
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities  
Drainage Index  
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures\*  
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures\*

\*Observational Variable

***Crime Dimension***

Category A Victim Crime Index  
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index  
Category B Crime Index

***Economic Dimension***

Percent Affordable Housing  
Percent Housing Reinvestment  
Change in Housing Values\*  
Percent Change in Income  
Percent of Tax Delinquent Parcels  
Population Growth\*

## Geographic Units

This research process delineated 172 Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs) in the City of Chesapeake. NSAs are communities of interest consisting of one or more neighborhoods or subdivisions. The NSA boundaries used for this study were delineated by the Steering Committee in conjunction with the consultant. The quality of life assessment was carried out for 117 NSAs (Figure 1). Not included in the analysis were seven non-residential NSAs. Currently, these areas do not have residential development, nor are they expected to have a residential future. Consequently, these areas were not included in the analytical framework. In addition, there are 48 rural NSAs. The majority of these NSAs are located in Southern Chesapeake. At this time, these NSAs do not meet the population threshold (300 residents) for analytical purposes. Nonetheless, quality of life information is being collected for these areas. They will be included in future quality of life studies as their population thresholds are achieved.

This study is built around a small area geography, the NSAs. These units are typically larger than individual subdivisions or traditional neighborhoods. The NSAs are large enough to collect and analyze community-level data, without serious error margins.

The NSA geography was delineated using existing neighborhoods and subdivisions with some boundary adjustments. For example, the U.S. Census 2000 block group and block boundaries were considered in drawing boundaries. NSA boundaries are fixed. The only future change in boundaries will occur as neighborhood conditions warrant adjustments. This fixed approach is followed in order to allow comparison of NSAs over time. Figure 2 presents the NSA numbering system. All NSAs, even those 55 not included in the analysis, are numbered. A list of all the NSAs, including their constituent neighborhoods and subdivisions is provided as Appendix B.



Finally, this document contains a NSA profile for each of the 117 NSAs included in the analysis. The profile includes a NSA classification; variable values, with citywide comparisons; and background information.

Figure 1  
Neighborhood Statistical Area (NSA)  
Boundaries





Figure 2  
NSA Identification

**Legend**

 Analytical Areas

 Non-Analytical Areas



## Methods

The analysis in this study used several computational and statistical methods. Geographic Information System (GIS) was utilized to summarize large volumes of data for each NSA. Data for each variable was extracted from many different departments and agencies' computer systems and this information was geographically referenced to each Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSA). Next, the 23 analytical variables for each NSA were standardized. Standardization makes it possible for the different variables to be compared using the same scale. Subsequently, the standardized variables were merged into a common research framework and a citywide analysis was carried out.



Following this step, the variables for each NSA were evaluated to identify common characteristics. This analysis yielded three categories which reflected differences in the social, crime, physical and economic characteristics between NSAs. These were used to match individual Neighborhood Statistical Areas with other NSAs based upon their common characteristics. A detailed discussion of the categories and their attributes are presented on page 9. In instances

where NSAs had common characteristics in more than one category, a finer grained analysis was carried out to determine the final assignment of the NSAs to one of the three clusters. While the assignment of a NSA to a group was rarely “perfect”, the final placement of all NSAs into each group was statistically valid.

In addition to this report, a companion document, “Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Technical Report, 2006” has been prepared. It contains the complete set of data for all individual variables, as well as maps displaying the distribution of variables across NSAs.

## Developing, Sustaining, and Revitalizing Neighborhoods

The Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life Index classifies 117 Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs) into three categories: Developing, Sustaining, and Revitalizing. In general terms, the three-part grouping broadly reflects the community-level character of a small-scale geography, the NSA. The grouping of NSAs is intended to be a policy tool. It plays this role by classifying Chesapeake's varied neighborhoods into broadly, homogenous groups and identifying their attendant neighborhood characteristics. Moreover, this framework facilitates comparisons between groups of similar neighborhoods, as well as allowing for citywide service provision and infrastructure assessments.

The categories reflect the structural and socio-economic character of the NSAs comprising the category. The NSAs were assigned to groups using the methodology outlined earlier in this report. In descriptive terms, the categories exhibit the following general trends.

*Developing:* These are NSAs that have concentrations of newer housing stock and higher rates of home ownership. They are, however, less well provided with infrastructure. They have significant youthful populations, but fewer youth-oriented services. The community development challenges facing these neighborhoods are centered on infrastructure provision issues.



*Sustaining:* These NSAs are mature communities, generally well served with infrastructure and community resources. Their populations are evenly balanced across the age spectrum. The potential service and infrastructure difficulties facing these neighborhoods are often related to the age of the community and the changes that occur in neighborhoods as they mature.

*Revitalizing:* These are older residential communities. They are served by infrastructure, but the age of infrastructure leads to challenges. Demographically, these communities have significant concentrations of youth and senior populations. These NSAs are experiencing various levels of pressures associated with community change. The age of housing and the infrastructure provide opportunities to be proactive in meeting community challenges.



## Citywide Quality of Life Results

The quality of life experience among residents in a neighborhood can vary from person to person. Thus, quantifying a concept as personal as “quality of life” is a complicated chore. However, in order to assess all aspects of what makes a community a desirable, safe, and socially healthy place to live, it is necessary to reduce the complex idea into a manageable set of measurable information.

In Chesapeake, a community with widespread residential diversity, the concerns and issues surrounding neighborhood livability are closely linked to community character and form. Using the Developing, Sustaining, and Revitalizing categories to categorize these attributes, the analysis delineated 17 Developing NSAs, 80 Sustaining NSAs, and 20 Revitalizing NSAs. These results are presented on Figure 3 and the companion Table 2. Figure 4 provides a guide for the identification of individual NSA results.

Strong, livable residential communities are the backbone of a successful city. Acknowledging the interrelated issues of quality of life is the first step in creating a program to routinely assess progress toward healthy NSAs and focus efforts on addressing the individual needs of each neighborhood. The use of the Developing, Sustaining, and Revitalizing framework aligns NSAs with other communities facing similar challenges and dynamics. In this fashion, public actions to address neighborhood issues may be targeted throughout the city, based upon common concerns rather than local geography.

**Table 2**  
**2006 NSA Quality of Life Groupings**

<b>Developing</b>		<b>Sustaining</b>			<b>Revitalizing</b>
16	2	31	74	104	1
27	3	36	75	106	30
29	4	37	76	108	32
57	5	38	77	110	33
86	6	39	78	111	35
93	7	40	79	112	42
100	8	41	80	113	45
101	9	43	81	114	46
107	10	58	82	116	47
109	12	59	87	123	48
115	14	62	88	128	50
117	15	63	89	130	51
118	17	64	90	131	52
120	18	65	91	137	53
125	21	67	92	138	54
126	22	68	95	139	55
129	23	69	96	142	56
	24	70	97	145	60
	25	72	98	147	61
	28	73	99	148	66

Figure 3  
2006 Quality of Life Groupings





Figure 4  
NSA Identification

**Legend**

 Analytical Areas

 Non-Analytical Areas



## Four Dimensions of Quality of Life

Measuring the quality of life components in a neighborhood is a very complex assignment. In communities such as Chesapeake where neighborhoods are diverse and continually changing, the task is made even more difficult. Because individual Neighborhood Statistical Areas (NSAs) have such varied circumstances, it is necessary to assess their strengths and weaknesses from a variety of perspectives.

Recognizing that each community is unique, this study measures community-level quality of life across a wide array of variables. The factors used in the analysis were primarily derived from local government agencies and organizations. They ranged from social measures of community health to environmental quality criteria. Within this diverse set of information, the common element is how an individual variable impacts the quality of life in Chesapeake. The importance attached to a variable will vary based upon community attitudes and interests. Clearly, some variables are linked to other variables in a positive or negative fashion so that synergistic impacts may be presented. Ultimately, one use of this study will be to allow city staff and neighborhood residents to identify the relative importance of a key variable or set of variables to improving the livability of a Chesapeake neighborhood.

Organizationally, the 23 analytical variables used in the analysis were organized across four areas. These are social, crime, physical, and economic dimensions. This grouping scheme puts together variables that are related and work together in affecting neighborhood-scale quality of life. Often times, these factors are interrelated. Thus, separating the effects of a particular factor from other factors may be difficult. Consequently, the combining of similar variables into dimensions avoids this measurement problem. Table 1 lists the variables and their dimensional affiliation.



## Social Dimension

### Overview

The social well being of a neighborhood is dependent upon many interconnected issues. Neighborhoods with a desirable quality of life are economically and socially diverse and self-sufficient. They have healthy populations with a mix of older and younger residents, are served by strong public schools, and have residents that are involved in their community. These neighborhoods provide strong role models for youth and opportunities for young people to be involved in a variety of after-school activities. The social vitality of a neighborhood is one dimension of a comprehensive assessment of neighborhood quality of life.

While social well-being is only one component of neighborhood quality of life, it tends to exacerbate the larger set of issues that affect the quality of life in communities with the greatest needs. Individual variable scores will help to pinpoint specific problems and provide guidance to address these components. Figure 5 displays the social dimension findings.

### Analytical Variables

- Percent of persons over age 64
- Percent of persons 4–19
- Infant wellness index
- Percent of child welfare cases
- Percent of persons receiving TANF
- Percent of persons receiving food stamps
- Youth opportunity index




### Description

- Developing – NSAs with social challenges related to newer neighborhoods
- Sustaining – NSAs presenting social needs for maturing neighborhoods
- Revitalizing – NSAs with social needs related to older neighborhoods

### Results

- Developing – 16 NSAs
- Sustaining – 97 NSAs
- Revitalizing – 4 NSAs

Figure 5  
Social Dimension Groupings



## Crime Dimension

### Overview

Most empirical evidence finds that crime rates play a critical role in influencing community opinions of quality of life. High rates of crime create undesirable and unstable living environments. The Chesapeake crime dimension measures selected crime rates in each NSA and compares them to the rates for the city. By making a quantitative comparison between criminal activities in small geographic areas to the citywide rates, it is easier to gauge whether crime disproportionately affects an area. In this way, neighborhoods where criminal activity impacts quality of life can be better identified. The crime dimension results are presented on Figure 6.

### Analytical Variables

- Category A Victim crime (serious offense against a person)
- Category A Non-victim crime (serious offense against property)
- Category B Crime (all other offenses not specified in Category A)

### Description


- More than Average – NSAs with criminal activity above the city’s reported rate
- Average – NSAs exhibiting criminal activity around the city’s reported rate
- Less than Average – NSAs experiencing criminal activity below the city’s report rate

### Results

- More than Average – 6 NSAs
- Average – 61 NSAs
- Less than Average – 50 NSAs



Figure 6  
Crime Dimension Groupings



## Physical Dimension

### Overview

The physical assets of a community are a valuable measure of neighborhood-level social capital and community livability. Viewed broadly, neighborhoods with poor infrastructure, poorly maintained housing stock or environmental problems are more likely to be neighborhoods at risk.

In a similar fashion, neighborhood vitality can be enhanced by strong and well serviced residential communities. The real and/or perceptual desirability of a neighborhood leads to active community involvement and private investment. Figure 7 contains the physical dimension results.

### Analytical Variables

- Percent home ownership
- Percent residential apartment units
- Percent of single family dwellings older than 50 years
- Percent code enforcement
- Sidewalk index
- Percent of persons with access to public transportation
- Percent of persons with access to basic retail facilities
- Percent of persons with access to parks and recreation
- Percent of persons near noxious facilities
- Drainage index

### Description


- Developing - NSAs exhibiting physical needs related to developing neighborhoods
- Sustaining - NSAs displaying physical needs related to mature neighborhoods
- Revitalizing - NSAs with physical challenges of older neighborhoods

### Results

- Developing - 21 NSAs
- Sustaining - 71 NSAs
- Revitalizing - 25 NSAs

Figure 7

# Physical Dimension Groupings



## Economic Dimension

### Overview

The economic vitality and the economic characteristics of a neighborhood are among the most commonly cited quality of life indicators. Often, indicators look at household income measures. As a consequence, the evaluation of economic vitality can easily become biased toward affluent neighborhoods. This report seeks to look at a different measure of economic vitality, which is less oriented around higher income. In the Chesapeake Neighborhood Quality of Life research, the economic dimension of quality of life is assessed using change measures, where the level of positive economic activity is the critical indicator. Thus, a neighborhood may have modest median incomes, but improvements in real estate, community reinvestment, and income growth are signals of positive economic trends. The economic dimension findings are found on Figure 8.



The pace and dynamic character of community growth in Chesapeake mean that up-to-date information is difficult to collect and mean. Thus, the data used in this area may appear, at first glance, as dated, but they represent the most complete information at the time that they were gathered.

### Analytical Variables

- Percent affordable housing
- Percent housing reinvestment
- Percent change in income
- Percent of tax delinquent parcels

### Description


- Developing - NSAs with economic change related to newer neighborhoods
- Sustaining - NSAs displaying economic indicators around the city average
- Revitalizing - NSAs experiencing economic challenges in older neighborhoods

### Results

- Developing - 71 NSAs
- Sustaining - 44 NSAs
- Revitalizing - 2 NSA

Figure 8

# Economic Dimension Groupings



Appendix A  
Neighborhood Profiles: User Guide



## Social Dimension

**Percent of Persons over Age 64** - The proportion of the population that is 65 years and older represents the Aged Dependency Ratio.

The Aged Dependency Ratio is equal to the total number of aged persons 65 years and older divided by the total population for each neighborhood.

Source: Claritas, 2005.

**Percent of Persons 5 and 19** – The proportion of the population that is between the ages of 5 and 19 represents the Juvenile Dependency Ratio.

The Juvenile Dependency Ratio is equal to the total number of juveniles between the ages of 5 and 19 divided by the total population for each neighborhood.

Source: Chesapeake Public Schools, 2005.

**Infant Wellness Index** – Index based on births to adolescents, low birth weight and no prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy.

All 2003 birth records for the City of Chesapeake were address-matched using GIS. The point data were then identified with the neighborhood boundaries to get a total number of births, the number of children born to women under the age of 18, the number of babies that weighed 2500 grams or less (approximately 5 ½ pounds), and the number of mothers who received no prenatal care in the first trimester.

Each neighborhood is indexed based on the following criteria.

- Age of Birth Mother – If the age of the birth mother is less than 18 years, the birth is given a score of 0. For births by mother 18 or older, the birth is given a score of 1.
- Birth Weight – If the birth weight equals or is less than 2500 grams, the birth is given a score of 0. If the birth weight exceeds 2500 grams, the birth is given a score of 1.
- Prenatal Visits – If the mother had not prenatal visits in the first trimester, the birth is given a score of 0. If the mother had at least one prenatal visit in the first trimester, the birth is given a score of 1.

The maximum score for each birth is 3. The actual birth scores are summarized for each neighborhood. The resulting number is the Baby Wellness Index score for the neighborhood.

Sources: Virginia Health Department, Birth Certificate Data, 2003.

**Percent of Child Welfare Cases** - Percentage of children who are in the following categories as of May 15, 2005:

Child Protective Services  
Resident of the Juvenile Detention Center

The percentage of child welfare cases is equal to the total number of cases divided by the total number of children.

Source: Department of Human Services, Chesapeake, 2005.

**Percent of Persons Receiving TANF** – The percentage of persons receiving Temporary Aid to Needy Family (TANF)

The percentage of persons receiving TANF is equal to the total number of people receiving TANF divided by the total number of people living in the neighborhood..

Source: Chesapeake Human Services, 2006.

**Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps** – The percentage of persons who are receiving food stamps.

The percentage of persons receiving food stamps is equal to the total number of persons receiving food stamps divided by the total number of people living in the neighborhood.

Source: Chesapeake Human Services, 2006.

**Youth Opportunity Index** - A measure of the potential opportunities for youth to get involved in extra-curricular activities within the neighborhood.

“Opportunities” were defined as locations within the community that offered programs and activities for youth up to age 18. Locations included YMCA/YWCAs, churches, schools, recreation centers, community centers and libraries. Opportunity locations were scored as follows:

Churches—Because churches provide services beyond their neighborhood boundaries, each church was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the church. Each church was given a score of 1, which was a minimal score that identified the church as a potential activity center. Each residential unit captured by the buffer zone was given a score of 1.

Schools—Schools were given a score of 1. Because these schools provided services beyond their neighborhood boundaries, each school was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the school. Each residential unit captured by the buffer zone was assigned a score of based on the programs provided by the adjacent school.

Recreation Centers, Community Centers and YMCAs—Because these centers provide services beyond their neighborhood boundaries, each center was buffered by a ¼-mile ring that was considered to be a reasonable walking distance for youth to utilize the services offered by the center. Each center was given a score of 3, which was assigned to every residential unit within the buffer zone.

Libraries—Libraries were scored using the same methodology as the Centers except that a library was given a score of 2, indicating that it potentially offered greater services than a church but fewer than a Recreation Centers, Community Centers or YWCA/YMCAs. Each residential unit that fell in the buffer zone was assigned a score of 2.

Each residential unit within a neighborhood had the potential of receiving a score ranging from 0 to 7. The score for each neighborhood was calculated based the youth opportunity score for each residential unit. This composite score represents the total number and types of opportunities available to youth.

Source: South Hampton Roads YMCAs, 2005.  
City of Chesapeake Library System, 2005.  
City of Chesapeake Park and Recreation Department, 2005.  
Chesapeake Public Schools, 2005.

## **Crime Dimension**

**Category A Victim Crime Rate** - The rate of category A victim crime in each neighborhood as compared with the rate of category A victim crime in the City of Chesapeake.

The street block locations of each category A victim crime offenses in 2004 were address-matched using GIS. For the purpose of this study, Category A victim crimes include homicides, rapes, robberies, and aggravated assaults. The point data were then intersected with all neighborhoods to get the total number of crimes per neighborhood. The total number of Category A victim crimes was also summed for the City of Chesapeake.

The population of each neighborhood and the City of Chesapeake was calculated. The number of category A victim crime incidents for each neighborhood was divided by the population of each neighborhood to get the rate of category A victim crime. The total number of category A crime incidents for the City of Chesapeake was divided by the population of Chesapeake to get the rate of category A victim crime. The category A victim crime rate for each neighborhood was then compared to the city rate.

The Location Quotient method was used for the comparison. The results indicate the share of all category A victim crime in the City of Chesapeake captured by the individual neighborhood. For instance, a score of 1.00 indicates that the particular neighborhood has a rate of category A victim crime that equals the city rate.

Sources: Chesapeake Police Department, 2005.  
<http://www.fbi.gov/ucr/nibrs/manuals/v1all.pdf>

**Category A Non-Victim Crime Rate** - The rate of category A non-victim crime in each neighborhood as compared with the rate of category A non-victim crime in the City of Chesapeake.

The street block locations of category A non-victim crime offenses in 2004 were address-matched using GIS. For the purpose of this study, category A non-victim crimes include burglaries, larcenies, vehicle thefts, arsons, and vandalisms. The point data were then intersected with all neighborhoods to get the total number of crimes per neighborhood. The total number of category A non-victim crimes was also summed for the City of Chesapeake.

The population of each neighborhood and the City of Chesapeake was calculated from Census Block statistics. The number of category A non-victim crime incidents for each neighborhood was divided by the population of each neighborhood to get the rate of category A non-victim crime. The total number of category A non-victim crime incidents for the City of Chesapeake was divided by the population of Chesapeake to get the rate of category A non-victim crime. The rate of category A non-victim crime per population for each neighborhood was then compared to the rate of category A non-victim crime per population for the city.

The Location Quotient method was used for the comparison. The results indicates the share of all category A non-victim crimes in the City of Chesapeake captured by the individual neighborhood. For instance, a score of 1.00 indicates that the particular neighborhood has a rate of category A non-victim crime that equals the city rate.

Sources: Chesapeake Police Department, 2005.

<http://www.fbi.gov/ucr/nibrs/manuals/v1all.pdf>

**Category B Crime Rate** - The rate of Category B crime in each neighborhood as compared with the rate of category B crime in the City of Chesapeake.

The street block locations of category B crime offenses in 2004 were address-matched using GIS. For the purposes of this study, Category B crimes encompass all crimes that are not Category A offenses. These crimes include but are not limited to disorderly conduct, driving under the influence, and trespassing.

The population of each neighborhood and the City of Chesapeake was calculated from Census Block statistics. The number of category B crime incidents for each neighborhood was divided by the population of each neighborhood to get the rate of category B crime. The total number of category B crime incidents for the City of Chesapeake was divided by the population of Chesapeake to get the rate of category B crime. The rate of category B crime per population for each neighborhood was then compared to the rate of category B crime per population for the city.

The Location Quotient method was used for the comparison. The results indicate the share of all category B crime in the City of Chesapeake captured by the individual

neighborhood. For instance, a score of 2.00 indicates that the particular neighborhood has a rate of category B crime that equals the city rate.

Sources: Chesapeake Police Department, 2005.

<http://www.fbi.gov/ucr/nibrs/manuals/v1all.pdf>

## **Physical Dimension**

**Percent Home Ownership** - Percentage of all residential units that were owner-occupied in 2004.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use. The records were summarized for each neighborhood by residential units.

From each neighborhood, the number of owner-occupied units and total occupied units were calculated. The owner-occupied units were divided by the total occupied-units to get the percent of home ownership for each neighborhood.

Sources: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.

Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2005.

U.S. Census, 2000.

**Percentage of Neighborhood that is Residential** – The proportion of the neighborhood area that is residential, whether developed or not.

The percentage is equal to the total area in each neighborhood that is residentially developed or zoned divided by the total area of each neighborhood.

Sources: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.

### **Percentage of Residential Apartment Units**

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use. The records were summarized for each neighborhood by residential use type.

The total number of apartment units was then divided by the total number of residential units for each neighborhood to get the percentage of residential units that are apartments.

Source: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.

Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2005.

**Percentage of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years** - Percent of single family dwellings older than 50 years.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and age. The records were summarized for each neighborhood by single family units.

For each record, houses older than 50 years were identified. The total number of single family dwellings older than 50 years was then divided by the total number of single family dwellings for each neighborhood to get the percentage of single family dwelling older than 50 years.

Source: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.  
Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2005.

**Percent Code Enforcement** – An index of based on the code enforcement violations for each neighborhood.

The violations recorded for 2003 and 2004 were address-matched with GIS. This point data were identified with neighborhood boundaries to get the total number of code enforcement violations for each neighborhood.

Each violation was ranked in severity based on a multiplier described below:

Properties coded as demolitions were given a score of 5. These structures are 50% damaged or dilapidated. Properties that are ordered to board up their windows and doors and secured were given a score of 3. These structures are vacant and are considered unsafe.

Properties that are red tagged are given a score of 2. Virginia Natural Gas has determined an unsafe condition exists.

Structures that have property maintenance violation are given a score of 2.

Properties that have weeds, debris, or graffiti are given a score of 1.

The scores for each neighborhood were summarized and divided by the total number of parcels in the neighborhood. The values were classified into the following:

High – 0.22+  
Medium – 0.10 – 0.22  
Low – 0.0 – 0.10

Source: Neighborhood Services, Chesapeake, 2005.

**Sidewalk Index** – The percentage of pedestrian accessible streets that have a sidewalk on at least one side.

Using digital imagery, each pedestrian accessible street segment was assessed to determine if a sidewalk was present on at least one side of the street.

The number of street miles with sidewalks was divided by the total number of street miles to obtain the percentage of pedestrian accessible streets that have sidewalks on at least one side. The values were categorized using the following:

High – 50%+  
Medium – 25% - 50%  
Low – 0% - 25%

Source: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.

**Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation** – The percentage of neighborhood residents, who live within walking distance of public transportation, defined using the Chesapeake Area Transit System bus stops.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and the total number of residential units was calculated for each neighborhood.

The bus stops were buffered by ¼ mile using GIS. The tax parcels that fell within the buffer boundaries were used to calculate the number of residential units accessible to public transportation. The number of residential units accessible to public transportation was divided by the total number of residential units to get the percentage of residents accessible to public transportation for each neighborhood.

Source: Hampton Roads Transportation System, 2005.

**Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities** – The percentage of neighborhood residents who live near a grocery store and/or a pharmacy.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and the total number of residential units was calculated for each neighborhood.

Grocery stores and pharmacy addresses were address matched in GIS and buffered by 1 mile. The tax parcels that fell within the buffer boundaries were used to calculate the number of residential units accessible to basic retail. The number of residential units accessible to basic retail was divided by the total number of residential units to get the percentage of residents accessible to basic retail for each neighborhood.

Sources: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005  
Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2005.  
BellSouth Yellow Pages, 2005.

**Percent of Persons with Access to Park Facilities** – The percentage of neighborhood residents living within ¼ mile of a neighborhood park and 1 mile of a district park.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and the total number of residential units was calculated for each neighborhood.

Neighborhood parks were buffered by ¼ mile and district parks were buffered by 1 mile. The tax parcels that fell within the buffer boundaries were used to calculate the number of residential units accessible to parks. The number of residential units accessible to parks and recreation was divided by the total number of residential units to get the percentage of residents accessible to parks for each neighborhood.

Sources: Recreation and Parks, Chesapeake, 2005.  
Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.  
Real Estate Assessor, 2005.

**Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities** – The percentage of neighborhood residents living within ¼ mile of a noxious facility.

Noxious facilities include all facilities contained in the Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS), a computer based repository for information about air pollution maintained by the Environmental Protection Agency. This information comes from source reports by various stationary sources of air pollution, such as electric power plants, steel mills, factories. The geographic coordinates provided by this database were used to create a point system representing their locations.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and the total number of residential units was calculated for each neighborhood.

Each noxious facility was buffered by ¼ mile. The residential parcels that fell within the buffer boundaries were used to calculate the number of residential units near noxious facilities. The number of residential units near noxious facilities was divided by the total number of residential units to get the percentage of residents near noxious facilities for each neighborhood.

Sources: <http://www.epa.gov/enviro/html/airs/index.html>, 2005.  
Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.  
Real Estate and Assessment, Chesapeake, 2005.

**Drainage Index** – An index that represents the how well a neighborhood can handle storm water runoff based on existing infrastructure.

The percentage of each neighborhood with poor drainage was calculated using a digitized soil database. Any soil ranked poorly or very poorly drained was considered an area with poor drainage. The area of a neighborhood with poorly drained soiled was divided by the entire area of the neighborhood to obtain the percentage of a neighborhood with poorly drained soil.

The percentage of each neighborhood reporting storm water problems was calculated. The number of reported storm water problems between Jan 1, 2004 and May 31st, 2005 were summarized for each neighborhood. This summarized value was divided by the number of single family residential units.

The percentage of each neighborhood with infrastructure greater than 50 years old was calculated. The residential area older than 50 years old was divided by the total area residential area to obtain the percentage of residential areas in the neighborhood older than 50 years.

The calculated values were multiplied to obtain the drainage index and were categorized below:

Average – 0.0 - 0.15  
Low – 0.15 – 0.93

Source: Public Works, Chesapeake, 2005.  
NRCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2005.

**Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures** – The proportion of residences that have known private well failures.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and the total number of residential units was calculated for each neighborhood.

The percentage is equal to the total number of residential units with known private well failures divided by the total number of residential units in the neighborhood.

Source: Virginia Department of Health, 2005.  
Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.  
Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2005.

**Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures** – The proportion of residences that have known septic system failures.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and the total number of residential units was calculated for each neighborhood.

The percentage is equal to the total number of residential units with known septic system failures divided by the total number of residential units in the neighborhood.

Source: Virginia Department of Health, 2005.  
Information Technology, 2005.  
Real Estate and Assessment, 2005.

### **Economic Dimension**

**Percent Affordable Housing** – The percentage of single family dwellings sold in 2003 and 2004 that were affordable.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and sales activity. The total number residential units sold in 2003 and 2004 were calculated for each neighborhood.

By using the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) median household income of \$55,900 for Chesapeake, VA in 2004 and a 5% down payment, and a 6% interest rate, a house valued at approximately \$170,000 could be purchased.

The number of single family dwellings that sold at or below \$170,000 in 2004 was summarized for each neighborhood and was divided by the total number of single family sold in each neighborhood to obtain the percentage of affordable single family dwellings sold in each neighborhood.

Source: Department of Housing and Urban Development, U.S., 2005.  
Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005.  
Real Estate and Assessment, Chesapeake, 2005.

**Percent Housing Reinvestment** – The percentage of single family units that were replaced, added on, to or remodeled between 1999 and 2004.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and the total number of residential units was calculated for each neighborhood.

Using the certificate of occupancy database, the total number of single family units that were replaced, added on to or remodeled was divided by the total number of single family units to obtain the percentage of single family units that were replaced, added on to, or remodeled for each neighborhood.

Source: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005  
Real Estate and Assessment, Chesapeake, 2005  
Planning Department, 2005.

**Change in Housing Values**– Percent change in residential house value was calculated using the following equation:

$$\frac{2005 \text{ assessed} - 2004 \text{ assessed value}}{2004 \text{ assessed value}} * 100 = \% \text{ Change in House Value}$$

Source: Information Technology, 2005.  
Real Estate Assessor, 2005.

**Percent Change in Income** – Percent change in median household income value was calculated using the following equation:

$$\frac{2005 \text{ Income} - 2000 \text{ Income}}{2000 \text{ Income}} * 100 = \% \text{ Change in MHI}$$

Source: Claritas, 2005.

**Percent of Residential Properties that are Tax Delinquent** - The percentage of residential properties owner who have failed to pay their property taxes.

By utilizing the tax parcel database, each tax record was assigned to a neighborhood using GIS. Each tax record was analyzed for residential use and the total number of residential units was calculated for each neighborhood.

The number of single family properties that have not paid their property taxes for 2004 as of September 2005 was divided by the total number of single family properties to obtain the percentage of single family properties that are tax delinquent.

Source: Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005  
Real Estate and Assessment, Chesapeake, 2005  
Treasurer's Office, Chesapeake, 2005

**Population Growth** – Percent change in population from 2000 to 2005. The following equation was used:

$$\frac{\text{2005 population} - \text{2000 population}}{\text{2000 population}} * 100 = \text{Percent Change in Population}$$

Sources: Real Estate Assessor, Chesapeake, 2005.  
Information Technology, Chesapeake, 2005

**Comments:** Urban, Suburban, and Rural Overlays were adopted by City Council in May 2005. Urban Overlay areas allow up to 12 units per acre, Suburban Overlay areas allow up to 4 units per acre, and Rural Overlay areas require 25 acres per unit. The information was included to provide the user additional information on how the neighborhood may develop in the future.

Source: Planning, Chesapeake, 2006.

# Appendix B

## Neighborhood Profiles




# NSA Identification

## Legend

 Analytical Areas

 Non-Analytical Areas



**Dimension** **Grouping**


Social	Sustaining
Crime	More than Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 1 Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	977	210,484
Youth Population	215	50,643
Number of Housing Units	428	67,619
Area (Acres)	243	176,546
Median Household Income	\$35,388	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$151,953	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	23.8%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	22.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.87	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.5%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.1%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.8	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	2.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	5.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	3.9	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	34.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	35.3%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	53.7%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	2.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	53.7%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	98.6%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	77.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.5%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	14.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	6.1%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.5%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Developing
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 3 Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,293	210,484
Youth Population	281	50,643
Number of Housing Units	437	67,619
Area (Acres)	213	176,546
Median Household Income	\$54,928	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$268,486	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	3.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	21.7%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.83	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	84.3%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	37.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	39.1%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.1%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	25.2%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.8%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	2.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	2.6%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	22.5%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	10.5%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	2.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay





**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 6

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,288	210,484
Youth Population	662	50,643
Number of Housing Units	795	67,619
Area (Acres)	223	176,546
Median Household Income	\$65,518	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$176,152	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	28.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.88	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.4%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.7	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	76.4%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	86.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	5.7%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	High	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	9.3%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.1%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.1%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	66.9%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.5%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	18.2%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	8.5%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.1%	2.3%
Population Growth*	6.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 7

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,522	210,484
Youth Population	260	50,643
Number of Housing Units	625	67,619
Area (Acres)	244	176,546
Median Household Income	\$75,220	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$212,894	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	17.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	3.00	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.6%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.9	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.9	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	89.2%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	57.1%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	37.4%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.3%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	41.4%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.6%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	34.2%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	15.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	12.6%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-11.4%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 9

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,623	210,484
Youth Population	410	50,643
Number of Housing Units	579	67,619
Area (Acres)	428	176,546
Median Household Income	\$73,376	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$266,910	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	16.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	25.3%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.92	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.1	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	95.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	98.7%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	1.4%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	81.7%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.4%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	18.1%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	13.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.4%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	22.4%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 12

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,135	210,484
Youth Population	511	50,643
Number of Housing Units	822	67,619
Area (Acres)	581	176,546
Median Household Income	\$60,893	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$176,443	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	13.8%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	23.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.79	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.7%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.3	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	3.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	96.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	81.4%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	2.1%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.9%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	24.5%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	99.9%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.7%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	62.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	16.5%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	10.6%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.6%	2.3%
Population Growth*	35.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing


# NSA 14

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,185	210,484
Youth Population	642	50,643
Number of Housing Units	682	67,619
Area (Acres)	1,268	176,546
Median Household Income	\$93,523	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$244,188	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	8.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	29.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.87	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	93.2%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	80.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	2.4%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.9%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	12.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.6%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.4%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	2.7%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	1.1%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	17.8%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	18.9%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.8%	2.3%
Population Growth*	7.3%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 15

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	705	210,484
Youth Population	194	50,643
Number of Housing Units	262	67,619
Area (Acres)	329	176,546
Median Household Income	\$92,318	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$246,437	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	8.8%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	27.5%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	3.00	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.7	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	92.6%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	65.3%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.4%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	94.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	2.7%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	7.8%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	13.7%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	18.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.5%	2.3%
Population Growth*	78.5%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 16

## Developing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,116	210,484
Youth Population	565	50,643
Number of Housing Units	810	67,619
Area (Acres)	608	176,546
Median Household Income	\$72,967	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$198,952	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.0%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	26.7%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	3.00	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.6%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.2%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.4	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	95.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	57.9%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	3.3%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	22.1%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	1.5%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	27.8%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	14.7%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	12.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.8%	2.3%
Population Growth*	11.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 17

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	780	210,484
Youth Population	157	50,643
Number of Housing Units	299	67,619
Area (Acres)	200	176,546
Median Household Income	\$65,295	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$229,583	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	20.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	20.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.83	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.4%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.9%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.7	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	93.2%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	89.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	12.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	12.8%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	64.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	92.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.3%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	12.5%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	17.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	7.4%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.3%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 18

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	2,875	210,484
Youth Population	765	50,643
Number of Housing Units	945	67,619
Area (Acres)	739	176,546
Median Household Income	\$93,371	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$289,920	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	8.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	26.6%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.86	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.4	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	96.4%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	99.3%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.3%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	6.3%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	89.5%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.7%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.2%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	10.1%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.2%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	14.7%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	18.9%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.1%	2.3%
Population Growth*	43.2%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay







**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 24

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	380	210,484
Youth Population	74	50,643
Number of Housing Units	139	67,619
Area (Acres)	912	176,546
Median Household Income	\$70,116	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$208,353	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	13.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	19.5%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	3.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.0	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	99.3%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	5.7%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	30.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.7%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	15.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	7.2%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.2%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 25

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,134	210,484
Youth Population	181	50,643
Number of Housing Units	476	67,619
Area (Acres)	5,748	176,546
Median Household Income	\$40,055	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$125,271	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	16.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.71	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	1.5%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	3.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.5	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	71.1%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	29.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	28.6%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	28.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.9%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	1.9%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	82.1%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.6%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	13.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	6.6%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	4.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-0.4%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
Portions of NSA fall into the Suburban and Rural Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 28

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,412	210,484
Youth Population	678	50,643
Number of Housing Units	798	67,619
Area (Acres)	882	176,546
Median Household Income	\$59,167	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$178,022	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	28.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.96	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.8%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	74.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	92.1%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	12.5%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.7%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	86.7%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	93.4%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	48.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	8.8%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.9%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 29

## Developing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,624	210,484
Youth Population	365	50,643
Number of Housing Units	557	67,619
Area (Acres)	355	176,546
Median Household Income	\$56,305	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$147,242	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	22.5%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.86	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	2.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.9	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	89.1%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	78.4%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	12.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	58.2%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	75.5%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.5%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.2%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	78.1%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.7%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	15.4%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.9%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.5%	2.3%
Population Growth*	2.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 30

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,549	210,484
Youth Population	320	50,643
Number of Housing Units	589	67,619
Area (Acres)	467	176,546
Median Household Income	\$33,171	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$106,311	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	9.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	20.7%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.74	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.9%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	1.2%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	10.7%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	2.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	2.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	75.2%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	17.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	57.7%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	27.3%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	12.7%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	93.1%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	78.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	85.8%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	93.6%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	19.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	8.1%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	3.6%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**


Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 31

## Sustaining

Profile	NSA	City
Population	4,915	210,484
Youth Population	1,376	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,513	67,619
Area (Acres)	722	176,546
Median Household Income	\$52,149	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$126,044	\$201,525

Social	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age of 64	9.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	28.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.80	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	2.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	9.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.4	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.1	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	90.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	50.2%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.7%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	High	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	91.9%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	81.9%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	40.6%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	2.4%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.1%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	96.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.7%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	17.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	8.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	6.1%	2.3%
Population Growth*	8.2%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 33

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	916	210,484
Youth Population	154	50,643
Number of Housing Units	409	67,619
Area (Acres)	157	176,546
Median Household Income	\$51,526	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$141,124	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	21.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	16.8%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.87	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	90.4%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	92.6%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	37.7%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	47.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.5%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	90.2%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.2%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	17.8%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	4.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 35

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	3,785	210,484
Youth Population	953	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,416	67,619
Area (Acres)	665	176,546
Median Household Income	\$31,146	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$107,945	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	11.2%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	25.2%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.79	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	3.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	13.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	45.4%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	42.3%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	46.7%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	53.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	81.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	96.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	60.3%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	6.3%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	89.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	18.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	4.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.9%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 36

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,340	210,484
Youth Population	375	50,643
Number of Housing Units	454	67,619
Area (Acres)	673	176,546
Median Household Income	\$54,207	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$140,810	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	28.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.87	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.7%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.8%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.4	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.9	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	91.3%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	39.2%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	4.6%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	22.6%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	76.7%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	4.8%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	85.7%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.7%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	23.1%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	20.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	3.5%	2.3%
Population Growth*	23.7%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**


Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 38

## Sustaining

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,553	210,484
Youth Population	304	50,643
Number of Housing Units	536	67,619
Area (Acres)	381	176,546
Median Household Income	\$83,999	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$180,556	\$201,525

Social	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age of 64	12.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	19.6%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	3.00	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.5%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.8	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	92.1%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	76.2%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	7.1%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	55.1%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.6%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	48.4%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	16.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	15.6%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.3%	2.3%
Population Growth*	2.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 39

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,738	210,484
Youth Population	439	50,643
Number of Housing Units	610	67,619
Area (Acres)	1,391	176,546
Median Household Income	\$58,674	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$140,216	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	25.3%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.95	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	1.4%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.5	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	88.8%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	50.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	8.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	71.7%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	88.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	10.5%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.7%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.8%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	68.4%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	1.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	22.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	15.4%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	6.4%	2.3%
Population Growth*	4.5%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay





**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 42

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,277	210,484
Youth Population	342	50,643
Number of Housing Units	517	67,619
Area (Acres)	540	176,546
Median Household Income	\$22,034	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$92,536	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	10.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	26.8%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.67	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	8.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	29.8%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	3.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.6	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	40.1%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	23.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	48.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	5.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	51.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	96.8%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	19.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	11.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	12.3%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.8%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 45

## Revitalizing

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	1,627	210,484
Youth Population	315	50,643
Number of Housing Units	661	67,619
Area (Acres)	167	176,546
Median Household Income	\$49,297	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$108,106	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	16.4%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	19.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.87	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.6%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	1.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.5%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	81.8%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	78.9%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	11.7%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	34.3%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	49.6%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	98.7%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	8.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.5%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	93.8%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	20.1%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	14.5%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	5.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	16.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 46

## Revitalizing

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	1,545	210,484
Youth Population	341	50,643
Number of Housing Units	635	67,619
Area (Acres)	236	176,546
Median Household Income	\$41,977	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$105,560	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	12.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	22.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.83	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	1.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	2.4%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.1%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	2.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	2.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	72.9%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	61.9%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	55.3%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	46.7%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	79.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.9%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	15.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	10.2%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	3.3%	2.3%
Population Growth*	3.2%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 48

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,127	210,484
Youth Population	207	50,643
Number of Housing Units	482	67,619
Area (Acres)	74	176,546
Median Household Income	\$40,093	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$95,460	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	13.8%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	18.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.53	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	2.9%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.2%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.5	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	2.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	2.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	52.8%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	89.2%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	27.8%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	71.8%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	84.1%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	83.9%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	9.3%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	100.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.6%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	16.4%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	11.4%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	4.3%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Revitalizing
Crime	More than Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 51

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,480	210,484
Youth Population	423	50,643
Number of Housing Units	510	67,619
Area (Acres)	132	176,546
Median Household Income	\$29,441	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$84,558	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.0%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	28.6%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.69	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	8.7%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.8%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	2.5	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	5.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	3.0	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	36.8%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	38.6%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	41.6%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	86.6%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	97.1%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	92.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.2%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	95.2%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	2.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	20.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	9.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	6.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 52

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,432	210,484
Youth Population	302	50,643
Number of Housing Units	537	67,619
Area (Acres)	135	176,546
Median Household Income	\$35,789	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$90,128	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	21.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.56	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.7%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	3.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.1	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	63.1%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	62.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	22.5%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	41.6%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	79.3%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	90.4%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	1.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	14.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	6.9%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	9.4%	2.3%
Population Growth*	4.7%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	More than Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 53

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,616	210,484
Youth Population	448	50,643
Number of Housing Units	574	67,619
Area (Acres)	197	176,546
Median Household Income	\$26,372	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$83,459	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	27.7%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.70	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	5.6%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	6.9%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	3.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	2.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	3.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	56.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	45.7%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	13.1%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	32.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	72.7%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	25.6%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	88.5%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	17.2%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	5.1%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	5.8%	2.3%
Population Growth*	9.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Revitalizing
Crime	More than Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 54

## Revitalizing

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	1,107	210,484
Youth Population	436	50,643
Number of Housing Units	402	67,619
Area (Acres)	297	176,546
Median Household Income	\$24,541	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$93,615	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	12.4%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	39.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.60	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.5%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	12.2%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	10.2%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.9	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	3.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	3.1	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	49.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	30.1%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	45.8%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	15.1%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	53.9%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.7%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	96.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	1.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	15.2%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	6.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	5.1%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-7.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Urban Overlay





**Dimension** **Grouping**


Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 57

## Developing

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,445	210,484
Youth Population	560	50,643
Number of Housing Units	914	67,619
Area (Acres)	331	176,546
Median Household Income	\$60,625	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$155,932	\$201,525

Social	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age of 64	12.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	22.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.69	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	1.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.6%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.0	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.2	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	85.6%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	54.9%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.4%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	51.9%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	7.3%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	14.7%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.1%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	75.2%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	25.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	11.9%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.8%	2.3%
Population Growth*	6.5%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**


Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 58

## Sustaining

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,776	210,484
Youth Population	397	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,285	67,619
Area (Acres)	260	176,546
Median Household Income	\$60,199	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$208,921	\$201,525

Social	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.4%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	14.3%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.80	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.4%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.6%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.1	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	40.2%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	92.6%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	62.2%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	73.7%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	99.1%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	89.6%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.4%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	16.7%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	18.7%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	11.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.9%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-11.9%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Revitalizing
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 60

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,999	210,484
Youth Population	857	50,643
Number of Housing Units	713	67,619
Area (Acres)	137	176,546
Median Household Income	\$23,194	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$112,089	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	8.0%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	42.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.73	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.4%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	10.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	13.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.5	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	5.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.6	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	3.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	28.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	73.8%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	54.4%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	95.8%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	16.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	4.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	12.5%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 61

## Revitalizing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,510	210,484
Youth Population	551	50,643
Number of Housing Units	906	67,619
Area (Acres)	197	176,546
Median Household Income	\$36,077	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$92,292	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	12.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	22.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.71	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	6.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	7.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.9	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	2.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.5	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	80.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	77.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	2.3%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	85.2%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	67.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.1%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	100.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.6%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	20.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	10.6%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	6.1%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay





**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 64

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,457	210,484
Youth Population	223	50,643
Number of Housing Units	627	67,619
Area (Acres)	276	176,546
Median Household Income	\$55,987	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$162,974	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	25.5%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	15.3%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.91	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.9%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.4	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	89.6%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	89.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	24.1%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	23.9%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	99.7%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.1%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.3%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	80.7%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	11.2%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	16.6%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-0.2%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 65

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	3,625	210,484
Youth Population	706	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,538	67,619
Area (Acres)	523	176,546
Median Household Income	\$48,398	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$140,426	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	19.5%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.78	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.7%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.5%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.6	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.0	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	75.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	94.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	4.2%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	24.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	18.6%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	99.6%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	94.4%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.7%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	89.2%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	10.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.8%	2.3%
Population Growth*	2.3%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Revitalizing
Crime	Average
Physical	Revitalizing
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 66

## Revitalizing

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	2,179	210,484
Youth Population	509	50,643
Number of Housing Units	835	67,619
Area (Acres)	188	176,546
Median Household Income	\$42,367	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$115,137	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	10.1%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	23.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.84	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	9.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	11.8%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	2.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.0	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	46.1%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	58.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	45.3%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	9.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	High	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	64.4%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	2.1%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	99.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	18.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	9.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	3.3%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.8%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Developing
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 68

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,420	210,484
Youth Population	240	50,643
Number of Housing Units	634	67,619
Area (Acres)	138	176,546
Median Household Income	\$46,225	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$123,895	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.2%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	16.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.84	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.1	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.1	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	78.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	65.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	44.8%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	14.9%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	49.7%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	7.2%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	93.6%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	14.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	12.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.4%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-10.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**


Social	Sustaining
Crime	More than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 69

## Sustaining

Profile	NSA	City
Population	1,177	210,484
Youth Population	297	50,643
Number of Housing Units	386	67,619
Area (Acres)	524	176,546
Median Household Income	\$47,975	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$112,532	\$201,525

Social	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.1%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	25.2%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.72	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	1.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	1.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	3.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	5.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	3.5	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	86.2%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	12.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	43.3%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	17.4%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	98.9%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.8%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	11.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	10.5%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.1%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-3.8%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Developing
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 70

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,921	210,484
Youth Population	457	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,222	67,619
Area (Acres)	431	176,546
Median Household Income	\$61,971	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$113,304	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.4%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	15.7%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.82	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.5%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.3	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	40.9%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	80.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	55.4%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	High	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	42.3%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	77.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	99.4%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	93.2%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	44.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 72

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,183	210,484
Youth Population	418	50,643
Number of Housing Units	847	67,619
Area (Acres)	381	176,546
Median Household Income	\$49,521	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$131,264	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.9%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	19.2%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.73	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.2%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.2%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.9	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	83.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	55.7%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	26.6%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	4.3%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	57.3%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.1%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.1%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	87.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.5%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	15.5%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	9.4%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	3.2%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.5%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 73

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,246	210,484
Youth Population	187	50,643
Number of Housing Units	554	67,619
Area (Acres)	313	176,546
Median Household Income	\$46,480	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$204,299	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.5%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	15.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.91	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.5%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.4	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	3.2%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	21.9%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	62.3%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	61.9%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	24.2%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	23.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	9.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	3.8%	2.3%
Population Growth*	28.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 74

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**

Population	2,519	210,484
Youth Population	348	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,147	67,619
Area (Acres)	611	176,546
Median Household Income	\$46,480	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$147,038	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	14.5%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	13.8%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.93	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.3%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.4%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.9%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.1	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	54.3%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	31.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	42.8%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	High	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	79.5%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	89.4%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.6%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	24.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	9.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.8%	2.3%
Population Growth*	31.7%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 75

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	3,001	210,484
Youth Population	583	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,127	67,619
Area (Acres)	300	176,546
Median Household Income	\$62,081	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$201,346	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.4%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	19.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.88	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.6%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.6	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	2.9	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.9	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	56.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	70.6%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	41.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.8%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	44.3%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	24.7%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.4%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	34.5%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	28.8%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	14.1%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.2%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 77

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	4,380	210,484
Youth Population	1,187	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,613	67,619
Area (Acres)	549	176,546
Median Household Income	\$70,654	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$221,406	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	27.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.92	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.3	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	85.1%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	91.4%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	6.1%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.6%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	38.9%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	76.3%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.6%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	30.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.1%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	20.4%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	12.2%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 78

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,459	210,484
Youth Population	440	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,233	67,619
Area (Acres)	282	176,546
Median Household Income	\$57,223	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$156,090	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	5.0%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	17.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.93	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.5%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.6%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.9	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.5	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	58.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	44.9%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	23.5%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	High	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	99.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	89.5%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	4.2%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.1%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	62.5%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.2%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	23.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	12.2%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Developing
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 79

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	1,541	210,484
Youth Population	108	50,643
Number of Housing Units	494	67,619
Area (Acres)	310	176,546
Median Household Income	\$61,095	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$550,174	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.2%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	7.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.83	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.1%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.3	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	68.4%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	73.7%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	69.8%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	0.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.7%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	22.5%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.6%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	32.7%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Urban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**


Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 80

## Sustaining

Profile	NSA	City
Population	2,820	210,484
Youth Population	658	50,643
Number of Housing Units	988	67,619
Area (Acres)	287	176,546
Median Household Income	\$61,973	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$163,712	\$201,525

Social	NSA	City
Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.4%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	23.3%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.80	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.2%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.5%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.6	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.6	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	57.3%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	79.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	3.6%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	High	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	35.6%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	26.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.7%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	71.7%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.1%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.5%	2.3%
Population Growth*	4.3%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing


# NSA 82

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	993	210,484
Youth Population	273	50,643
Number of Housing Units	325	67,619
Area (Acres)	446	176,546
Median Household Income	\$74,530	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$337,956	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	9.5%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	27.5%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	3.00	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	97.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	67.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	1.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.5%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	0.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	22.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	19.5%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.5%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.3%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing


# NSA 87

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	366	210,484
Youth Population	87	50,643
Number of Housing Units	127	67,619
Area (Acres)	793	176,546
Median Household Income	\$55,138	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$415,837	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	12.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	23.8%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.5	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.1	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	83.8%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	60.1%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	9.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	3.1%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	1.6%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	4.7%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	1.6%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	0.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	13.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	15.9%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.6%	2.3%
Population Growth*	285.3%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 89

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,482	210,484
Youth Population	110	50,643
Number of Housing Units	833	67,619
Area (Acres)	814	176,546
Median Household Income	\$57,401	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$179,359	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	10.2%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	7.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	3.00	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	2.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.6	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.1	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	46.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	64.2%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	73.4%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	18.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	64.4%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.4%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.4%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	19.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	16.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	15.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	3.2%	2.3%
Population Growth*	12.9%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay



**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 91

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,111	210,484
Youth Population	568	50,643
Number of Housing Units	745	67,619
Area (Acres)	539	176,546
Median Household Income	\$56,946	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$197,026	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	10.1%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	26.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.95	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	68.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	77.7%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	10.3%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.8%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	10.3%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	68.1%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	47.9%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	39.9%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.5%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	26.8%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	15.1%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	2.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	25.7%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Urban Overlay





**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 95

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	3,585	210,484
Youth Population	934	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,263	67,619
Area (Acres)	541	176,546
Median Household Income	\$80,218	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$242,442	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	5.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	26.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.90	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.6%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.3	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	79.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	67.3%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	9.3%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	High	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	52.8%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	96.2%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	40.6%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	48.6%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.2%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.4%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	18.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 96

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	2,207	210,484
Youth Population	598	50,643
Number of Housing Units	772	67,619
Area (Acres)	537	176,546
Median Household Income	\$65,241	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$190,173	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	6.4%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	27.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.87	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.7	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.6	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	84.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	56.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	4.9%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Medium	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	60.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	56.7%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	87.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	16.2%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	56.5%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	11.2%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.4%	2.3%
Population Growth*	3.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining


# NSA 97

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	303	210,484
Youth Population	66	50,643
Number of Housing Units	130	67,619
Area (Acres)	406	176,546
Median Household Income	\$50,063	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$208,696	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	4.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	21.8%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.0	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	2.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.2	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	70.3%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	15.6%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	10.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	18.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	100.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	35.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	23.5%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	7.8%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	2.4%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 98

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	774	210,484
Youth Population	203	50,643
Number of Housing Units	267	67,619
Area (Acres)	286	176,546
Median Household Income	\$92,419	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$261,255	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	11.8%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	26.2%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	95.4%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	66.1%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	4.9%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	14.6%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	80.5%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	24.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	10.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	18.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.5%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 99

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	1,893	210,484
Youth Population	440	50,643
Number of Housing Units	677	67,619
Area (Acres)	783	176,546
Median Household Income	\$84,774	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$229,853	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	10.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	23.2%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.91	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.6%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	94.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	76.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.9%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	41.7%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	2.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	2.7%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	22.9%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.2%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	23.1%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	15.6%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.6%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.5%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay



# NSA 101

## Developing


### Dimension Grouping

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

### Profile NSA City Social NSA City

Population	1,724	210,484
Youth Population	579	50,643
Number of Housing Units	538	67,619
Area (Acres)	1,800	176,546
Median Household Income	\$71,699	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$286,938	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	33.6%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.88	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	96.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	85.3%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	5.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	94.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	4.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.6%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	1.5%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.6%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	18.2%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.1%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.7%	2.3%
Population Growth*	54.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

### Comments:

Portions of NSA fall into the Suburban and Rural Overlay





**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 107

## Developing

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**

Population	2,555	210,484
Youth Population	1,080	50,643
Number of Housing Units	774	67,619
Area (Acres)	484	176,546
Median Household Income	\$94,795	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$344,432	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	3.9%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	42.3%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.85	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.1%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.7%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	97.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	74.6%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	5.6%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	58.9%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.5%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	1.9%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	19.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.8%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.9%	2.3%
Population Growth*	124.9%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 108

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	4,335	210,484
Youth Population	1,081	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,378	67,619
Area (Acres)	426	176,546
Median Household Income	\$74,975	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$217,672	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	5.2%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	24.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.89	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.4	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	95.9%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	97.5%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	14.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	2.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	26.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	25.7%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	16.4%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.3%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	20.4%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	9.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.3%	2.3%
Population Growth*	7.5%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing

# NSA 109

## Developing

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	3,846	210,484
Youth Population	1,100	50,643
Number of Housing Units	1,207	67,619
Area (Acres)	491	176,546
Median Household Income	\$80,843	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$242,221	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	4.6%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	28.6%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.87	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	96.6%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	97.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.4%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	6.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	8.8%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.4%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.7%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	6.1%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.1%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	19.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	11.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

# NSA 110

## Sustaining


### Dimension Grouping

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

### Profile NSA City Social NSA City

Population	704	210,484
Youth Population	190	50,643
Number of Housing Units	248	67,619
Area (Acres)	169	176,546
Median Household Income	\$68,939	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$278,149	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	8.0%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	27.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.73	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.3%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.9%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.0	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	69.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	94.2%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.6%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	85.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	27.6%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	15.8%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	1.2%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	13.5%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	8.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.6%	2.3%
Population Growth*	36.2%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing


# NSA 111

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,100	210,484
Youth Population	211	50,643
Number of Housing Units	437	67,619
Area (Acres)	294	176,546
Median Household Income	\$72,353	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$192,032	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	12.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	19.2%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.69	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.4%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	1.6%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	79.6%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	58.3%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	13.3%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	2.4%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	High	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	3.7%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.1%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	48.9%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.5%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.6%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-0.3%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay



**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 113

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	1,988	210,484
Youth Population	414	50,643
Number of Housing Units	851	67,619
Area (Acres)	208	176,546
Median Household Income	\$56,760	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$196,758	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	10.8%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	20.8%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.91	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.7%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.7%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	3.9%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	1.1	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	1.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	42.9%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	74.1%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	52.9%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	7.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	26.2%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	100.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.5%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	52.6%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.8%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	18.8%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	17.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.5%	2.3%
Population Growth*	0.1%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Developing
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 114

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	2,285	210,484
Youth Population	470	50,643
Number of Housing Units	865	67,619
Area (Acres)	206	176,546
Median Household Income	\$66,603	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$207,970	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	8.5%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	20.6%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	3.00	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.4	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	85.4%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	87.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	11.6%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	0.0%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	44.2%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	60.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	100.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.2%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	55.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.4%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.2%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.1%	2.3%
Population Growth*	3.4%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay





**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing


# NSA 117

## Developing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,017	210,484
Youth Population	268	50,643
Number of Housing Units	325	67,619
Area (Acres)	246	176,546
Median Household Income	\$79,044	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$194,125	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	4.9%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	26.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.87	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.8%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.3	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	92.0%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	98.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.4%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	15.9%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.5%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.6%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	51.1%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	1.9%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	20.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	11.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.2%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.9%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing


# NSA 118

## Developing

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	1,435	210,484
Youth Population	467	50,643
Number of Housing Units	429	67,619
Area (Acres)	726	176,546
Median Household Income	\$79,322	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$324,755	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	5.0%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	32.5%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.83	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.7%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.3	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	98.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	99.6%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	2.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.7%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	11.5%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	17.7%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	11.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.2%	2.3%
Population Growth*	3.6%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

# NSA 120

## Developing


### Dimension Grouping

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing

### Profile NSA City Social NSA City

Population	373	210,484
Youth Population	78	50,643
Number of Housing Units	144	67,619
Area (Acres)	2,986	176,546
Median Household Income	\$77,475	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$300,066	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	10.9%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	20.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	3.00	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	4.3%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category B Crime Index	1.3	1.0

### Physical

Percent Home Ownership	93.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	72.2%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	12.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	11.7%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	5.6%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	50.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	2.8%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	20.4%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	19.3%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.4%	2.3%
Population Growth*	18.8%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Rural Overlay



# NSA 125

## Developing


### Dimension Grouping

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

### Profile NSA City Social NSA City

Population	1,211	210,484
Youth Population	435	50,643
Number of Housing Units	358	67,619
Area (Acres)	352	176,546
Median Household Income	\$80,246	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$262,199	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	5.5%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	35.9%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.86	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.2%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.2%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6



### Crime

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.1	1.0

### Physical


Percent Home Ownership	98.1%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	97.1%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	2.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	31.8%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	0.8%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.6%	N/A

### Economic

Percent Affordable Housing	1.5%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.6%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	26.6%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.0%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	1.1%	2.3%
Population Growth*	2.9%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay





**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing

# NSA 128

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	476	210,484
Youth Population	162	50,643
Number of Housing Units	147	67,619
Area (Acres)	447	176,546
Median Household Income	\$93,611	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$409,305	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.2%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	34.0%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.70	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.5	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.8	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.7	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	81.5%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	89.4%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	10.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	21.8%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	1.4%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	0.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.7%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	16.4%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	21.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	122.4%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing

# NSA 129

## Developing

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	2,989	210,484
Youth Population	886	50,643
Number of Housing Units	854	67,619
Area (Acres)	587	176,546
Median Household Income	\$94,892	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$287,841	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	5.3%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	29.6%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	2.96	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.1%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.4%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.7	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.4	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	95.7%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	92.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	1.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Medium	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	1.4%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	2.1%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	0.5%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	5.9%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.7%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	21.9%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	21.1%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.6%	2.3%
Population Growth*	36.4%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Suburban Overlay



**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Developing
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Developing

# NSA 131

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	343	210,484
Youth Population	54	50,643
Number of Housing Units	128	67,619
Area (Acres)	1,798	176,546
Median Household Income	\$71,699	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$260,236	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.7%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	15.7%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.2	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	89.9%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	94.7%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	39.1%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	6.4%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	26.9%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	69.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	2.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	2.3%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	25.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.0%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	17.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	13.1%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	-6.0%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Rural Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Average
Physical	Sustaining
Economic	Sustaining

# NSA 137

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	548	210,484
Youth Population	154	50,643
Number of Housing Units	204	67,619
Area (Acres)	1,965	176,546
Median Household Income	\$73,476	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$271,270	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	11.1%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	28.1%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.7%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.4%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.7%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.2	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.9	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	2.1	1.0
Category B Crime Index	2.0	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	90.6%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	86.7%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	24.5%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	19.9%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	26.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	19.9%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	2.9%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	1.5%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	55.6%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.5%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	25.1%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	16.9%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	4.9%	2.3%
Population Growth*	20.2%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Rural Overlay

**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing

# NSA 138

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	339	210,484
Youth Population	57	50,643
Number of Housing Units	116	67,619
Area (Acres)	1,030	176,546
Median Household Income	\$71,655	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$274,340	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	11.9%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	16.8%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.3	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	89.2%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	70.8%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	26.7%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	8.2%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	4.3%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	2.6%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	66.7%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	1.2%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	15.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	15.9%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	1.8%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Rural Overlay

**Dimension** **Grouping**

Social	Sustaining
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing


# NSA 139

## Sustaining

**Profile** **NSA** **City** **Social** **NSA** **City**

Population	412	210,484
Youth Population	117	50,643
Number of Housing Units	131	67,619
Area (Acres)	834	176,546
Median Household Income	\$93,611	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$365,285	\$201,525

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	7.2%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	28.4%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	0.0	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.0	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	93.3%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	100.0%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.8%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	0.0%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Average	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	6.9%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	1.5%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	0.0%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.8%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	17.0%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	21.7%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.8%	2.3%
Population Growth*	N/A	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

Comments:  
NSA falls within the Rural Overlay





**Dimension**

**Grouping**

Social	Developing
Crime	Less than Average
Physical	Developing
Economic	Developing

# NSA 147

## Sustaining

**Profile**

**NSA**

**City**


Population	444	210,484
Youth Population	91	50,643
Number of Housing Units	154	67,619
Area (Acres)	1,375	176,546
Median Household Income	\$71,250	\$63,300
Average House Value	\$310,423	\$201,525

**Social**

**NSA**

**City**

Percent of Persons over Age of 64	9.2%	9.9%
Percentage of Persons 5-19	20.5%	24.1%
Infant Wellness Index*	N/A	2.85
Percent of Child Welfare Cases	0.0%	0.2%
Percent of Persons Receiving TANF	0.0%	1.4%
Percent of Persons Receiving Food Stamps	0.0%	3.1%
Youth Opportunity Index	0.0	0.6




**Crime**

Category A Victim Crime Index	1.2	1.0
Category A Non-Victim Crime Index	0.4	1.0
Category B Crime Index	0.2	1.0

**Physical**

Percent Home Ownership	97.8%	74.3%
Percent Neighborhood Residential*	99.9%	70.1%
Percent Residential Apartment Units	0.0%	19.0%
Percent of Single Family Dwellings Older than 50 Years	3.9%	8.9%
Percent Code Enforcement	Low	Low
Sidewalk Index	Low	Medium
Percent of Persons with Access to Public Transportation	0.0%	31.4%
Percent of Persons with Access to Basic Retail Facilities	0.0%	71.8%
Percent of Persons with Access to Parks and Recreation	3.2%	66.6%
Percent of Persons near Noxious Facilities	0.0%	5.1%
Drainage Index	Low	Average
Percent of Residential Units with Private Well Failures*	11.0%	N/A
Percent of Residential Units with Septic System Failures*	2.0%	N/A



**Economic**

Percent Affordable Housing	33.3%	51.7%
Percent Housing Reinvestment	0.7%	0.4%
Change in housing values*	19.3%	17.7%
Percent change in Income	23.2%	13.7%
Percent of tax delinquent parcels	0.0%	2.3%
Population Growth*	10.7%	8.2%

\* Variable not used in Classification

**Comments:**

NSA falls within the Rural Overlay



Appendix C  
Subdivision and Neighborhood Name Index




# NSA Identification

## Legend

 Analytical Areas

 Non-Analytical Areas



NSA Neighborhood

89 Acorn Grove Apartments  
 30 Admiral MOBILE HOME PARK  
 23 Ahoy Acres  
 23 Ahoy Acres South  
 100 Albemarle Acres  
 100 Albemarle Acres West  
 108 Albemarle Colony  
 108 Albemarle Colony East  
 101 Albemarle Farms  
 101 Albemarle Farms North  
 108 Albemarle Pines  
 98 Albemarle Terrace  
 77 Alden Square  
 74 Alta Vista Apartments  
 32 Amberly  
 66 Apartments at 1810 Speedy Ave.  
 53 Apts 1307 Commerce Ave  
 53 Apts 1415 Commerce Ave  
 53 Apts on Commerce Ave  
 59 Arbor Glen Apartments  
 65 Archbell Apartments  
 47 Ardmore  
 81 Asbury Downs Condominiums  
 93 Ash Hill Estates  
 64 Ashburn Point  
 80 Ashley Woods  
 48 Avalon  
 48 Avalon Arms Apartments  
 70 Avalon Birches Apartments  
 47 Avalon Park  
 16 Bailey's Creek  
 35 Barnes MOBILE HOME PARK  
 73 Battlefield Commons  
 73 Battlefield Corporate Center  
 113 Battlefield Woods Apartments  
 129 Battlewood Meadows  
 80 Bayberry Forest  
 80 Bayberry Place  
 80 Bayberry Woods  
 37 Baywood Manor  
 92 Beacon Point at River Walk  
 135 Beaver Meadows  
 72 Belvin Heights  
 140 Benefit  
 128 Berkshire Estates  
 128 Berkshire Forest  
 39 Bishop Davis Estates  
 104 Blackwater Forest  
 25 Bowers Hill  
 108 Brandermill

NSA Neighborhood

96 Breezewood Landing  
 35 Brent Lox Nursing Home & Rehab  
 33 Brentwood  
 115 Briarwood  
 112 Briarwood Meadows  
 99 Bridgefield  
 115 Bridgewood  
 7 Brittany Woods  
 92 Broad Bend at River Walk  
 54 Broadlawn Apartments  
 35 Broadmoor  
 8 Bruce Station  
 41 Bryant Farms  
 94 Cahoon Plantation  
 62 Cambridge Manor Apartments  
 Cambridge Square Senior  
 74 Apartments  
 31 Camelot  
 60 Campostella Commons  
 60 Campostella Square  
 126 Caroon Farms  
 107 Carriage House Commons  
 107 Carriage House Estates  
 112 Castlewood Terrace  
 45 Catalina Heights  
 24 Cavalier Industrial Park  
 39 Cedar Cove  
 41 Cedar Creek  
 95 Cedar Crossing Condominiums  
 21 Cedar Grove Acres  
 114 Cedar Lakes  
 114 Cedar Lakes Condominiums  
 114 Cedar Lakes Townhouses  
 96 Cedar Mill Townhouses  
 114 Cedar Pines  
 40 Cedar Pointe Meadows  
 96 Cedarwood  
 96 Cedarwood Condominiums  
 96 Cedarwood II Condominiums  
 96 Cedarwood South  
 131 Centerville Farms  
 66 Century Plaza Apartments  
 17 Chadswyck  
 16 Chadswyck Terrace  
 48 Chaparral Arms Apartments  
 48 Chaparral Arms Apartments  
 83 Charlestowne Lakes South  
 15 Charlton Village  
 97 Chesapeake Civic Center  
 98 Chesapeake Colony  
 98 Chesapeake Colony Estates

NSA Neighborhood

56 Chesapeake Crossing I Sr. Apts.  
 56 Chesapeake Crossing II Sr. Apts.  
 56 Chesapeake Crossing III Sr. Apts.  
 Chesapeake Crossing Shopping  
 56 Center  
 74 Chesapeake General Hospital  
 72 Chesapeake Manor Senior Apts.  
 32 Chesapeake MOBILE HOME PARK  
 56 Chesapeake MOBILE HOME PARK  
 79 Chesapeake Place  
 35 Chesapeake RHF Housing  
 11 Chesapeake Square Mall  
 35 Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments  
 115 Cheshire Forest  
 115 Cheshire Meadows  
 1 Churchland  
 86 Clearfield  
 86 Clearfield Estates  
 25 Clearview  
 2 Clover Meadows Condominiums  
 52 Cloverdale  
 69 College Park  
 22 Colonial Point  
 25 Colony Manor  
 18 Colony Pointe  
 121 Cornland  
 93 Country Club Meadows  
 93 Country Club Meadows South  
 148 Country Mill Run  
 126 Country Roads  
 7 Countryview  
 129 Coventry Meadows  
 92 Creekside at River Walk  
 40 Creekwood  
 40 Creekwood South  
 92 Crescent Cove Condominiums  
 35 Crescent Place Apartments  
 43 Crestwood  
 43 Crestwood Manor  
 6 Cricket Hollow Townhouses  
 47 Crossbridge Commons  
 71 Crossways Shopping Center  
 80 Cypress Place  
 112 Dail's Landing  
 130 Darby Run  
 14 David's Mill  
 39 Deep Creek Crossing  
 39 Deep Creek Forest  
 37 Deep Creek Landing  
 38 Deep Creek Shores  
 41 Deer Crossing

NSA Neighborhood

118 Deerville  
 18 Dock Landing  
 18 Dock Landing Meadows  
 18 Dockwood  
 91 Dominion Lakes  
 91 Dominion Pines  
 91 Dominion Pines Apartments  
 29 Dominion Village at Chesapeake  
 116 Dove Acres  
 141 Downland Acres  
 91 Driftwood Meadows  
 12 Drum Creek Farms  
 10 Drum Point  
 2 Dunedin  
 2 Dunedin Apartments  
 92 Echo Cove at River Walk  
 45 Edgewood  
 45 Edgewood Manor  
 45 Edgewood Square  
 127 Edinburgh  
 Edmonds Corner MOBILE HOME  
 56 PARK  
 30 Elizabeth Gardens  
 64 Elizabeth River Terrace  
 32 Elkhart Apartments  
 51 Elmsley  
 28 Elmwood Landing  
 28 Elmwood Landing West  
 85 Elpike Manor  
 79 Emerald Greens  
 129 Emerald Lakes Estates  
 75 Essex Meadows  
 77 Estates of Greenbrier  
 107 Etheridge Lakes  
 109 Etheridge Manor  
 109 Etheridge Meadows  
 109 Etheridge Meadows  
 109 Etheridge Point South  
 109 Etheridge Pointe  
 109 Etheridge Woods  
 43 Eva Gardens  
 55 Fairfield  
 32 Fairmont Heights  
 62 Fairview Manor  
 78 Fairways Villas Condominiums  
 53 Faith Village  
 2 Farmington Acres  
 8 Farmview  
 107 Fentress Echos  
 108 Fentress Gardens  
 45 Ferebee Manor

NSA Neighborhood

91 Fernwood Shores  
 38 Firman Estates  
 63 Ford Park  
 36 Fordville  
 36 Forest Cove  
 18 Forest Hills  
 118 Forest Lakes  
 116 Foxgate Quarter  
 123 Foxwood North  
 38 Futrells Landing  
 27 Galberry  
 30 Gatewood Apartments  
 30 Gatewood Commons Condominiums  
 35 Geneva Court  
 35 Geneva Forest  
 32 Geneva MOBILE HOME PARK  
 35 Geneva Park  
 35 Geneva Park Shores  
 35 Geneva Shores  
 32 George Washington Townhouse Apts.  
 57 Georgetown Colony  
 57 Georgetown Commons Townhouses  
 58 Georgetown East  
 57 Georgetown Manor  
 57 Georgetown Place  
 58 Georgetown Point  
 57 Georgetown Square Townhouse  
 92 Georgian Manor  
 144 Gertie  
 41 Glen Eagle  
 33 Glen Isle  
 90 Glenleigh  
 15 Glenmoore  
 111 Glenwood  
 113 Glovers Court  
 66 Goldmill Apartments  
 15 Goose Creek Estates  
 89 Governors Pointe Apartments  
 62 Gracie Park  
 57 Gray's Providence  
 97 Great Bridge Acres  
 115 Great Bridge Crossing  
 99 Great Bridge Gardens  
 106 Green Haven  
 9 Green Meadow Point  
 71 Greenbrier Commerce Park  
 78 Greenbrier Country Club  
 71 Greenbrier Mall  
 71 Greenbrier Market Center  
 75 Greenbrier Woods Apartments

NSA Neighborhood

72 Greenfield Acres  
 76 Greentree Apartments  
 78 Greenwood at Greenbrier  
 74 Greenwood Estates  
 72 Gregorys MOBILE HOME PARK  
 112 Grovedate  
 111 Hall Heights  
 113 Hall Manor  
 112 Hall Property  
 112 Hanbury Farms  
 112 Hanbury West  
 37 Happy Acres  
 96 Harbor Landing  
 42 Harbor North Apartments  
 89 Harbor Watch Condominiums  
 42 Harbour North  
 72 Harrells MOBILE HOME PARK  
 21 Hartwood North  
 21 Hartwood South  
 47 Harvard Apartments  
 93 Hastings Place  
 70 Heather Point Condominiums  
 40 Hennessey Crossing  
 112 Heritage Point  
 137 Hickory Heights  
 137 Hickory Ridge  
 137 Hickory Ridge  
 148 Hickory Ridge  
 137 Hickory Station Estates  
 126 Hillcrest  
 29 Hillside Landing  
 110 Hillwell Manor  
 22 Holly Cove  
 57 Holly Glen Condominiums  
 58 Holly Point Apartments  
 55 Homecrest MOBILE HOME PARK  
 117 Homemont  
 145 Homestead Acres  
 38 Hulen Estates  
 77 Hunningdon Lakes  
 77 Hunningdon Woods  
 12 Hunter's Cove  
 90 Hunters Glen  
 66 Indian River Estates  
 66 Indian River Gardens  
 67 Indian River Homesites  
 65 Indian River Landing  
 64 Indian River Terrace  
 93 Inland Colony  
 92 Inlet Quay Condominiums

## NSA Neighborhood

69 Ipswich Townvillas  
 93 Island Estates  
 78 Ivystone Apartments  
 66 Jacobsen Apartments  
 16 Jester Gardens  
 113 Johnstowne Square  
 99 Jolliff Station  
 14 Jolliff Woods  
 17 Joseph's Gardens  
 120 Jubilee Acres  
 32 Julia's Home for Adults  
 2 Kay Willow Apartments  
 43 Keats Landing  
 77 Kemp Crossing  
 82 Kemp Woods  
 53 Kennedy Apartments  
 110 Kensington Chase  
 27 Kentucky Forest  
 104 King James Colony  
 92 Kings Ford Condominiums  
 113 Kingsbridge Apartments  
 31 Kingstowne  
 76 Knell's Ridge Condominiums  
 75 Knell's Ridge Estates  
 76 Knell's Ridge Townhouses  
 10 Knox Farms  
 24 Lake Forest  
 35 Lake Village Apartments  
 109 Lakes of Etheridge  
 80 Lakes of Greenbrier Apartments  
 78 Lakewood  
 60 Landmark Apartments  
 95 Las Gaviotas  
 92 Laurel Haven at River Walk  
 90 Les Chateaux  
 9 Lilley Cove  
 72 Lindale  
 36 Lindbergh Manor  
 22 Little Zion  
 39 Locks Landing  
 70 Logan's Mill  
 35 Lone Oak MOBILE HOME PARK  
 92 Long Point Townhouses  
 18 Lori Place  
 35 Loxley Gardens  
 52 Madison Arms Apartments  
 90 Magnolia Chase  
 42 Main's Creek Park  
 15 Manning Estates  
 21 Maplewood Apartments

## NSA Neighborhood

35 Marina Point Apartments  
 41 Mariners Pointe  
 92 Mariner's Quay Condominiums  
 40 Marsh Creek  
 92 Marsh Island at River Walk  
 27 Martindale  
 10 Maryview Hospital  
 66 McDonald Manor Apartments  
 48 McLain Street Apartments  
 43 McMillan MOBILE HOME PARK  
 38 Meadow Creek Estates  
 60 Meadow Landing North Townhouses  
 16 Meadow Wood Estates  
 113 Meadowoods  
 4 Merrimac Landing  
 37 Meta Pointe  
 6 Miars Farm  
 6 Miars Farm Apartments  
 9 Miars Plantation  
 116 Middle Oaks  
 72 Midway MOBILE HOME PARK  
 28 Mill Creek  
 28 Mill Creek Apartments  
 41 Mill Creek Harbor  
 81 Mill Pond Forest  
 80 Mill Quarter  
 27 Millers Run  
 32 Misty Point  
 44 Money Point  
 108 Mount Pleasant Heights  
 53 Munden  
 92 Mystic Isle at River Walk  
 41 New Mill Landing  
 43 Newton Creek Estates  
 77 Norcova Estates  
 65 Norfolk Highlands  
 22 North Cove  
 31 North Creek  
 104 North Landing Farms  
 167 Northwest  
 156 Northwest Acres  
 159 Northwest Landing  
 92 Oak Bridge Forest  
 76 Oak Brooke  
 89 Oak Grove Apartments  
 88 Oak Grove Homes  
 76 Oak Grove Meadows  
 75 Oak Lake Apartments  
 75 Oak Lake Estates Condominiums  
 37 Oak Manor

## NSA Neighborhood

37 Oak Manor Woods  
 7 Oak Point  
 146 Oak Ridge  
 76 Oakbrooke Meadows  
 52 Oakdale  
 63 Oaklette  
 35 Oakville  
 33 Old Farm  
 29 Old Mill Landing  
 15 Old Woodland Estates  
 27 Olde Mill Run  
 81 Orchard Grove Condominiums  
 35 Owens Village  
 39 Park View  
 55 Parkwood  
 32 Parsons Adult Care  
 60 Peaceful Village  
 134 Peoples Estates  
 6 Peppercorn Condominiums  
 139 Pine Ridge  
 116 Pines of Warrick  
 67 Pinetta  
 81 Plantation Lakes  
 25 Plantation MOBILE HOME PARK  
 81 Plantation Woods  
 81 Plantation Woods Condominiums  
 111 Pleasant Grove Townhouses  
 60 Pleasant Park Apartments  
 147 Pleasant Ridge  
 147 Pleasant Ridge North  
 39 Pleasant View  
 17 Plum Point  
 37 Plummer Plantation  
 38 Plummer Plantation  
 61 Plymouth Park  
 61 Plymouth Park  
 8 Point Elizabeth  
 8 Point Elizabeth Townhouses  
 10 Point Harbor  
 1 Poplar Hill  
 108 Poplar Ridge  
 108 Poplar Ridge South  
 112 Porter Ridge  
 46 Portlock  
 46 Portlock Terrace  
 32 Powell Subdivision  
 72 Princeton Halls  
 54 Providence Grove  
 68 Providence MOBILE HOME PARK  
 56 Providence Square

## NSA Neighborhood

56 Providence Terrace  
 4 Pughsville  
 66 Queen City  
 92 Queens Gate Condominiums  
 92 Quiet Cove at River Walk  
 50 Quincy  
 56 R & H MOBILE HOME PARK  
 7 Radcliffe Meadows  
 46 Raleigh Heights  
 17 Raleigh Place  
 139 Ravenwoods  
 74 Red Oak Colony  
 130 Regal Park  
 44 Reidville  
 41 Rio Vista  
 41 Rio Vista  
 80 River Birch Run South  
 18 River Landing at Goose Creek  
 91 River Pines  
 18 Riverbend  
 64 Riverside Park Homes  
 63 Riverstone Estates  
 160 Riverwood  
 36 Roseville  
 92 Royal Grove Townhouses  
 115 Saddle Ridge South  
 32 Saint Julians Court  
 2 Saint's Delight  
 116 Sandy Hill  
 117 Sandy Meadows  
 22 Sandy Pines  
 40 Sawyer's Mill  
 117 Scenic Acres  
 101 School House Crossing  
 18 Scotfield  
 18 Scotfield Woods  
 72 Seaboard Estates  
 96 Seabrooke Landing  
 64 Seminole Park  
 76 Sentara Village at Chesapeake  
 55 Serenity Lodge (Salvation Army)  
 4 Shamrock Gardens  
 32 Shamrock Gardens Apartments  
 21 Sherbrooke Apartments  
 53 Sheridan Heights  
 27 Sherman Oaks  
 93 Sherwood Forest  
 123 Shillelagh Farms  
 41 Ship's Crossing  
 10 Shorewood

## NSA Neighborhood

5 Silverwood  
 2 Silverwood Oaks  
 126 Sir Raleigh Estates  
 18 South Dockwood  
 62 South Fairview  
 36 South Gilmerton  
 45 South Hill  
 54 South Munden  
 48 South Norfolk Heights  
 116 South Wood  
 69 Southeastern Virginia Training Ctr.  
 116 Southern Pines  
 51 Southern Terrace Arms Apartments  
 47 Southgate Plaza  
 Sparrow Road Townhouse  
 65 Apartments  
 32 Spinner's Creek  
 148 St Brides  
 78 St. Andrews Condominiums  
 34 St. Julians Depot  
 86 Station Square Condominiums  
 76 Stillwater Farms  
 111 Stone Hill Condominiums  
 10 Stonebridge Apartments  
 10 Stonebridge Landing  
 125 Stonegate  
 43 Stoneys MOBILE HOME PARK  
 101 Stratford Terrace  
 29 Strawberry Acres  
 55 Sturbridge Village  
 48 Sunnybrook Apartments  
 25 Sunray  
 59 Sunrise Hills  
 86 Tallwood Estates  
 64 Tanglewood  
 111 Tarawood  
 2 Taylor Commons  
 2 Taylor Pointe Apartments  
 12 Taylorwood Estates  
 73 The Cedars Apartments  
 78 The Club Villas Condominiums  
 6 The Crossings at Western Branch  
 6 The Garage Townes at Miars Farm  
 41 The Landings at Bald Cypress Quay  
 41 The Meadows at Bald Cypress Quay  
 92 The Quays at River Walk  
 2 Timberlark Townhomes  
 159 Timberwood  
 6 Townhouses of Radcliffe  
 48 Travelers Apartments  
 99 Tunbridge Station

## NSA Neighborhood

80 Turtle Rock  
 8 Tuttle's Landing  
 116 Twelve Oaks  
 47 Twin Creek Apartments  
 8 Tyre Neck  
 25 Unnamed Nursing Home & Duplexes  
 129 Upton Farms  
 67 Valley Stream  
 45 Varsity Estates  
 46 Varsity Manor  
 46 Varsity Manor West  
 139 Village Farms  
 118 Vintage Estates  
 133 Walnut Green  
 15 Wampler Place  
 86 Warrington Hall  
 118 Washington Heights  
 30 Washington Manor  
 92 Watch Island at River Walk  
 115 Waterford  
 16 Waterstone  
 101 Waterway Estates  
 55 Waverton Apartments  
 55 Waverton Place Apartments  
 18 Weavers Spring  
 77 Wedgewood Estates  
 75 Well Spring  
 3 Wellington  
 3 Wellington Woods  
 16 West Chadswyck Terrace  
 25 West Colony Manor  
 114 West Lake Condominiums  
 142 West Landing Estates  
 54 West Munden  
 120 West Road Estates  
 114 Westbridge Apartments  
 12 Western Branch Commons  
 27 Westonia  
 72 Westover  
 80 White Oak Crossing  
 106 Whittamore Acres  
 90 Wickford  
 142 Wild Horse Ridge  
 17 Wildwood  
 111 Wildwood Heights  
 14 Willow Lakes  
 75 Willow Oaks Apartments  
 92 Willow Point Condominiums  
 31 Willows Glen  
 29 Willowwood

NSA Neighborhood

NSA Neighborhood

- 55 Wilmund Court Apartments
- 55 Wilmund Park Apartments
- 55 Wilmund Place
- 113 Wilson Heights
- 114 Wilson Heights South
- 114 Wilson Heights West
- 89 Wilton Court Retirement Center
- 74 Wimbledon Chase Condominiums
- 74 Wimbledon Square Condominiums
- 110 Windlesham Plantation
- 6 Winds of Silverwood
- 38 Wingfield Pointe
- 116 Wittington
- 130 Woodard's Mill
- 74 Woodbriar
- 73 Woodbriar Apartments
- 77 Woodbridge Apartments
- 70 Woodgate Commons
- 1 Woodhaven Apartments
- 37 Woodlake Forest
- 18 Woodland Shores
- 32 Woodland Terrace
- 31 Woodland Trails
- 36 Woods of Deep Creek
- 30 Woodshire MOBILE HOME PARK
- 77 Wynngate Place

NSA Neighborhood

- 1 Churchland
- 1 Poplar Hill
- 1 Woodhaven Apartments
- 2 Dunedin
- 2 Farmington Acres
- 2 Clover Meadows Condominiums
- 2 Timberlark Townhomes
- 2 Silverwood Oaks
- 2 Dunedin Apartments
- 2 Taylor Pointe Apartments
- 2 Kay Willow Apartments
- 2 Taylor Commons
- 2 Saint's Delight
- 3 Wellington
- 3 Wellington Woods
- 4 Pughsville
- 4 Shamrock Gardens
- 4 Merrimac Landing
- 5 Silverwood
- 6 The Crossings at Western Branch
- 6 Cricket Hollow Townhouses
- 6 Townhouses of Radcliffe
- 6 Miars Farm Apartments
- 6 Peppercorn Condominiums
- 6 The Garage Townes at Miars Farm
- 6 Miars Farm
- 6 Winds of Silverwood
- 7 Brittany Woods
- 7 Radcliffe Meadows
- 7 Oak Point
- 7 Countryview
- 8 Point Elizabeth
- 8 Point Elizabeth Townhouses
- 8 Bruce Station
- 8 Tyre Neck
- 8 Tuttle's Landing
- 8 Farmview
- 9 Miars Plantation
- 9 Lilley Cove
- 9 Green Meadow Point
- 10 Point Harbor
- 10 Drum Point
- 10 Stonebridge Landing
- 10 Knox Farms
- 10 Maryview Hospital
- 10 Stonebridge Apartments
- 10 Shorewood
- 11 Chesapeake Square Mall
- 12 Drum Creek Farms
- 12 Taylorwood Estates

NSA Neighborhood

- 12 Hunter's Cove
- 12 Western Branch Commons
- 14 Jolliff Woods
- 14 Willow Lakes
- 14 David's Mill
- 15 Glenmoore
- 15 Goose Creek Estates
- 15 Manning Estates
- 15 Wampler Place
- 15 Charlton Village
- 15 Old Woodland Estates
- 16 Jester Gardens
- 16 West Chadswyck Terrace
- 16 Meadow Wood Estates
- 16 Waterstone
- 16 Bailey's Creek
- 16 Chadswyck Terrace
- 17 Wildwood
- 17 Chadswyck
- 17 Joseph's Gardens
- 17 Plum Point
- 17 Raleigh Place
- 18 Forest Hills
- 18 Dock Landing
- 18 Scotfield
- 18 Dockwood
- 18 Woodland Shores
- 18 South Dockwood
- 18 Lori Place
- 18 Colony Pointe
- 18 Riverbend
- 18 Scotfield Woods
- 18 Weavers Spring
- 18 Dock Landing Meadows
- 18 River Landing at Goose Creek
- 21 Cedar Grove Acres
- 21 Hartwood South
- 21 Hartwood North
- 21 Sherbrooke Apartments
- 21 Maplewood Apartments
- 22 Holly Cove
- 22 Sandy Pines
- 22 Colonial Point
- 22 Little Zion
- 22 North Cove
- 23 Ahoy Acres
- 23 Ahoy Acres South
- 24 Lake Forest
- 24 Cavalier Industrial Park
- 25 Clearview

## NSA Neighborhood

25 Colony Manor  
 25 West Colony Manor  
 25 Sunray  
 25 Bowers Hill  
 25 Unnamed Nursing Home & Duplexes  
 25 Plantation MOBILE HOME PARK  
 27 Kentucky Forest  
 27 Sherman Oaks  
 27 Martindale  
 27 Galberry  
 27 Westonia  
 27 Millers Run  
 27 Olde Mill Run  
 28 Elmwood Landing West  
 28 Mill Creek Apartments  
 28 Mill Creek  
 28 Elmwood Landing  
 29 Strawberry Acres  
 29 Old Mill Landing  
 29 Hillside Landing  
 29 Willowood  
 29 Dominion Village at Chesapeake  
 30 Washington Manor  
 30 Gatewood Apartments  
 30 Gatewood Commons Condominiums  
 30 Elizabeth Gardens  
 30 Woodshire MOBILE HOME PARK  
 30 Admiral MOBILE HOME PARK  
 31 Camelot  
 31 Willows Glen  
 31 North Creek  
 31 Kingstowne  
 31 Woodland Trails  
 32 Misty Point  
 32 Chesapeake MOBILE HOME PARK  
 32 Geneva MOBILE HOME PARK  
 32 Woodland Terrace  
 32 Spinner's Creek  
 32 Amberly  
 32 Shamrock Gardens Apartments  
 32 Parsons Adult Care  
 32 George Washington Townhouse Apts.  
 32 Elkhart Apartments  
 32 Julia's Home for Adults  
 32 Saint Julians Court  
 32 Powell Subdivision  
 32 Fairmont Heights  
 33 Brentwood  
 33 Glen Isle  
 33 Old Farm

## NSA Neighborhood

34 St. Julians Depot  
 35 Loxley Gardens  
 35 Geneva Forest  
 35 Geneva Park Shores  
 35 Geneva Park  
 35 Broadmoor  
 35 Oakville  
 35 Marina Point Apartments  
 35 Chesapeake Townhouse Apartments  
 35 Lake Village Apartments  
 35 Owens Village  
 35 Chesapeake RHF Housing  
 35 Barnes MOBILE HOME PARK  
 35 Lone Oak MOBILE HOME PARK  
 35 Brent Lox Nursing Home & Rehab  
 35 Crescent Place Apartments  
 35 Geneva Shores  
 35 Geneva Court  
 36 Lindbergh Manor  
 36 Fordville  
 36 South Gilmerton  
 36 Roseville  
 36 Forest Cove  
 36 Woods of Deep Creek  
 37 Oak Manor Woods  
 37 Meta Pointe  
 37 Happy Acres  
 37 Plummer Plantation  
 37 Woodlake Forest  
 37 Oak Manor  
 37 Baywood Manor  
 37 Deep Creek Landing  
 38 Plummer Plantation  
 38 Meadow Creek Estates  
 38 Hulen Estates  
 38 Firman Estates  
 38 Futrells Landing  
 38 Wingfield Pointe  
 38 Deep Creek Shores  
 39 Pleasant View  
 39 Bishop Davis Estates  
 39 Park View  
 39 Locks Landing  
 39 Cedar Cove  
 39 Deep Creek Forest  
 39 Deep Creek Crossing  
 40 Creekwood  
 40 Creekwood South  
 40 Cedar Pointe Meadows  
 40 Hennessey Crossing

NSA Neighborhood

40 Sawyer's Mill  
 40 Marsh Creek  
 41 Deer Crossing  
 41 Cedar Creek  
 41 Bryant Farms  
 41 Glen Eagle  
 41 New Mill Landing  
 41 Mariners Pointe  
 41 Ship's Crossing  
 41 Mill Creek Harbor  
 41 The Landings at Bald Cypress Quay  
 41 The Meadows at Bald Cypress Quay  
 41 Rio Vista  
 41 Rio Vista  
 42 Main's Creek Park  
 42 Harbour North  
 42 Harbor North Apartments  
 43 Crestwood  
 43 Newton Creek Estates  
 43 Crestwood Manor  
 43 Eva Gardens  
 43 Keats Landing  
 43 Stoneys MOBILE HOME PARK  
 43 McMillan MOBILE HOME PARK  
 44 Money Point  
 44 Reidville  
 45 South Hill  
 45 Catalina Heights  
 45 Edgewood Manor  
 45 Edgewood Square  
 45 Edgewood  
 45 Ferebee Manor  
 45 Varsity Estates  
 46 Varsity Manor West  
 46 Raleigh Heights  
 46 Portlock Terrace  
 46 Portlock  
 46 Varsity Manor  
 47 Avalon Park  
 47 Ardmore  
 47 Harvard Apartments  
 47 Southgate Plaza  
 47 Twin Creek Apartments  
 47 Crossbridge Commons  
 48 Avalon  
 48 South Norfolk Heights  
 48 Travelers Apartments  
 48 Chaparral Arms Apartments  
 48 Chaparral Arms Apartments  
 48 Sunnybrook Apartments

NSA Neighborhood

48 Avalon Arms Apartments  
 48 McLain Street Apartments  
 50 Quincy  
 51 Elmsley  
 51 Southern Terrace Arms Apartments  
 52 Oakdale  
 52 Cloverdale  
 52 Madison Arms Apartments  
 53 Sheridan Heights  
 53 Munden  
 53 Faith Village  
 53 Kennedy Apartments  
 53 Apts 1307 commerce Ave  
 53 Apts on Commerce Ave  
 53 Apts 1415 Commerce Ave  
 54 Providence Grove  
 54 South Munden  
 54 West Munden  
 54 Broadlawn Apartments  
 55 Homecrest MOBILE HOME PARK  
 55 Sturbridge Village  
 55 Fairfield  
 55 Wilmund Place  
 55 Serenity Lodge (Salvation Army)  
 55 Waverton Apartments  
 55 Wilmund Court Apartments  
 55 Wilmund Park Apartments  
 55 Parkwood  
 55 Waverton Place Apartments  
 56 Providence Terrace  
 56 Providence Square  
 Chesapeake Crossing Shopping  
 56 Center  
 56 Chesapeake Crossing II Sr. Apts.  
 Edmonds Corner MOBILE HOME  
 56 PARK  
 56 R & H MOBILE HOME PARK  
 56 Chesapeake MOBILE HOME PARK  
 56 Chesapeake Crossing I Sr. Apts.  
 56 Chesapeake Crossing III Sr. Apts.  
 57 Georgetown Manor  
 57 Georgetown Square Townhouse  
 57 Georgetown Commons Townhouses  
 57 Gray's Providence  
 57 Holly Glen Condominiums  
 57 Georgetown Colony  
 57 Georgetown Place  
 58 Georgetown East  
 58 Georgetown Point  
 58 Holly Point Apartments  
 59 Sunrise Hills

NSA Neighborhood

59 Arbor Glen Apartments  
 60 Campostella Square  
 60 Landmark Apartments  
 60 Pleasant Park Apartments  
 60 Meadow Landing North Townhouses  
 60 Peaceful Village  
 60 Campostella Commons  
 61 Plymouth Park  
 61 Plymouth Park  
 62 Fairview Manor  
 62 Gracie Park  
 62 South Fairview  
 62 Cambridge Manor Apartments  
 63 Ford Park  
 63 Oaklette  
 63 Riverstone Estates  
 64 Tanglewood  
 64 Indian River Terrace  
 64 Ashburn Point  
 64 Seminole Park  
 64 Elizabeth River Terrace  
 64 Riverside Park Homes  
 65 Norfolk Highlands  
 65 Indian River Landing  
 Sparrow Road Townhouse  
 65 Apartments  
 65 Archbell Apartments  
 66 Indian River Estates  
 66 Queen City  
 66 Indian River Gardens  
 66 McDonald Manor Apartments  
 66 Century Plaza Apartments  
 66 Goldmill Apartments  
 66 Jacobsen Apartments  
 66 Apartments at 1810 Speedy Ave.  
 67 Pinetta  
 67 Valley Stream  
 67 Indian River Homesites  
 68 Providence MOBILE HOME PARK  
 69 Ipswich Townvillas  
 69 College Park  
 69 Southeastern Virginia Training Ctr.  
 70 Heather Point Condominiums  
 70 Woodgate Commons  
 70 Logan's Mill  
 70 Avalon Birches Apartments  
 71 Greenbrier Commerce Park  
 71 Crossways Shopping Center  
 71 Greenbrier Market Center  
 71 Greenbrier Mall  
 72 Lindale

NSA Neighborhood

72 Belvin Heights  
 72 Westover  
 72 Princeton Halls  
 72 Harrells MOBILE HOME PARK  
 72 Gregorys MOBILE HOME PARK  
 72 Midway MOBILE HOME PARK  
 72 Chesapeake Manor Senior Apts.  
 72 Greenfield Acres  
 72 Seaboard Estates  
 73 Woodbriar Apartments  
 73 Battlefield Commons  
 73 The Cedars Apartments  
 73 Battlefield Corporate Center  
 74 Woodbriar  
 74 Red Oak Colony  
 74 Greenwood Estates  
 74 Wimbledon Square Condominiums  
 74 Wimbledon Chase Condominiums  
 Cambridge Square Senior  
 74 Apartments  
 74 Chesapeake General Hospital  
 74 Alta Vista Apartments  
 75 Essex Meadows  
 75 Knell's Ridge Estates  
 75 Well Spring  
 75 Oak Lake Estates Condominiums  
 75 Oak Lake Apartments  
 75 Greenbrier Woods Apartments  
 75 Willow Oaks Apartments  
 76 Greentree Apartments  
 76 Knell's Ridge Townhouses  
 76 Knell's Ridge Condominiums  
 76 Oak Grove Meadows  
 76 Oak Brooke  
 76 Oakbrooke Meadows  
 76 Stillwater Farms  
 76 Sentara Village at Chesapeake  
 77 Wynngate Place  
 77 Norcova Estates  
 77 Kemp Crossing  
 77 Wedgewood Estates  
 77 Estates of Greenbrier  
 77 Alden Square  
 77 Hunningdon Lakes  
 77 Hunningdon Woods  
 77 Woodbridge Apartments  
 78 St. Andrews Condominiums  
 78 Greenwood at Greenbrier  
 78 Lakewood  
 78 Fairways Villas Condominiums  
 78 The Club Villas Condominiums

## NSA Neighborhood

78 Ivystone Apartments  
 78 Greenbrier Country Club  
 79 Emerald Greens  
 79 Chesapeake Place  
 80 Bayberry Place  
 80 Cypress Place  
 80 White Oak Crossing  
 80 River Birch Run South  
 80 Bayberry Woods  
 80 Bayberry Forest  
 80 Turtle Rock  
 80 Mill Quarter  
 80 Ashley Woods  
 80 Lakes of Greenbrier Apartments  
 81 Mill Pond Forest  
 81 Plantation Woods  
 81 Plantation Woods Condominiums  
 81 Asbury Downs Condominiums  
 81 Plantation Lakes  
 81 Orchard Grove Condominiums  
 82 Kemp Woods  
 83 Charlestowne Lakes South  
 85 Elpike Manor  
 86 Clearfield  
 86 Clearfield Estates  
 86 Tallwood Estates  
 86 Warrington Hall  
 86 Station Square Condominiums  
 88 Oak Grove Homes  
 89 Oak Grove Apartments  
 89 Governors Pointe Apartments  
 89 Acorn Grove Apartments  
 89 Harbor Watch Condominiums  
 89 Wilton Court Retirement Center  
 90 Wickford  
 90 Glenleigh  
 90 Les Chateaux  
 90 Hunters Glen  
 90 Magnolia Chase  
 91 Fernwood Shores  
 91 Driftwood Meadows  
 91 River Pines  
 91 Dominion Pines  
 91 Dominion Pines Apartments  
 91 Dominion Lakes  
 92 Crescent Cove Condominiums  
 92 Oak Bridge Forest  
 92 Kings Ford Condominiums  
 92 Queens Gate Condominiums  
 92 Inlet Quay Condominiums

## NSA Neighborhood

92 Long Point Townhouses  
 92 Mariner's Quay Condominiums  
 92 Creekside at River Walk  
 92 Willow Point Condominiums  
 92 Royal Grove Townhouses  
 92 Georgian Manor  
 92 Beacon Point at River Walk  
 92 Mystic Isle at River Walk  
 92 Echo Cove at River Walk  
 92 Quiet Cove at River Walk  
 92 Laurel Haven at River Walk  
 92 Marsh Island at River Walk  
 92 The Quays at River Walk  
 92 Watch Island at River Walk  
 92 Broad Bend at River Walk  
 93 Inland Colony  
 93 Hastings Place  
 93 Country Club Meadows  
 93 Country Club Meadows South  
 93 Sherwood Forest  
 93 Ash Hill Estates  
 93 Island Estates  
 94 Cahoon Plantation  
 95 Las Gaviotas  
 95 Cedar Crossing Condominiums  
 96 Breezewood Landing  
 96 Cedarwood South  
 96 Seabrooke Landing  
 96 Harbor Landing  
 96 Cedar Mill Townhouses  
 96 Cedarwood  
 96 Cedarwood Condominiums  
 96 Cedarwood II Condominiums  
 97 Chesapeake Civic Center  
 97 Great Bridge Acres  
 98 Chesapeake Colony  
 98 Albemarle Terrace  
 98 Chesapeake Colony Estates  
 99 Jolliff Station  
 99 Tunbridge Station  
 99 Great Bridge Gardens  
 99 Bridgefield  
 100 Albemarle Acres  
 100 Albemarle Acres West  
 101 Waterway Estates  
 101 Albemarle Farms North  
 101 School House Crossing  
 101 Albemarle Farms  
 101 Stratford Terrace  
 104 North Landing Farms

## NSA Neighborhood

104 Blackwater Forest  
 104 King James Colony  
 106 Green Haven  
 106 Whittamore Acres  
 107 Fentress Echos  
 107 Carriage House Estates  
 107 Etheridge Lakes  
 107 Carriage House Commons  
 108 Fentress Gardens  
 108 Albemarle Pines  
 108 Albemarle Colony  
 108 Albemarle Colony East  
 108 Poplar Ridge  
 108 Poplar Ridge South  
 108 Mount Pleasant Heights  
 108 Brandermill  
 109 Etheridge Meadows  
 109 Etheridge Manor  
 109 Etheridge Woods  
 109 Etheridge Pointe  
 109 Etheridge Point South  
 109 Lakes of Etheridge  
 109 Etheridge Meadows  
 110 Hillwell Manor  
 110 Kensington Chase  
 110 Windlesham Plantation  
 111 Pleasant Grove Townhouses  
 111 Hall Heights  
 111 Tarawood  
 111 Glenwood  
 111 Wildwood Heights  
 111 Stone Hill Condominiums  
 112 Grovedate  
 112 Castlewood Terrace  
 112 Hall Property  
 112 Hanbury West  
 112 Porter Ridge  
 112 Dail's Landing  
 112 Hanbury Farms  
 112 Heritage Point  
 112 Briarwood Meadows  
 113 Wilson Heights  
 113 Hall Manor  
 113 Johnstowne Square  
 113 Battlefield Woods Apartments  
 113 Meadowoods  
 113 Kingsbridge Apartments  
 113 Glovers Court  
 114 Wilson Heights South  
 114 Wilson Heights West

## NSA Neighborhood

114 Westbridge Apartments  
 114 Cedar Lakes  
 114 West Lake Condominiums  
 114 Cedar Lakes Condominiums  
 114 Cedar Lakes Townhouses  
 114 Cedar Pines  
 115 Bridgewood  
 115 Cheshire Meadows  
 115 Saddle Ridge South  
 115 Waterford  
 115 Cheshire Forest  
 115 Great Bridge Crossing  
 115 Briarwood  
 116 Pines of Warrick  
 116 Middle Oaks  
 116 Twelve Oaks  
 116 Wittington  
 116 Southern Pines  
 116 Foxgate Quarter  
 116 Sandy Hill  
 116 South Wood  
 116 Dove Acres  
 117 Sandy Meadows  
 117 Scenic Acres  
 117 Homemont  
 118 Deerville  
 118 Washington Heights  
 118 Forest Lakes  
 118 Vintage Estates  
 120 Jubilee Acres  
 120 West Road Estates  
 121 Cornland  
 123 Shillelagh Farms  
 123 Foxwood North  
 125 Stonegate  
 126 Country Roads  
 126 Hillcrest  
 126 Caroon Farms  
 126 Sir Raleigh Estates  
 127 Edinburgh  
 128 Berkshire Estates  
 128 Berkshire Forest  
 129 Upton Farms  
 129 Coventry Meadows  
 129 Emerald Lakes Estates  
 129 Battlewood Meadows  
 130 Regal Park  
 130 Woodard's Mill  
 130 Darby Run  
 131 Centerville Farms

NSA Neighborhood

NSA Neighborhood

- 133 Walnut Green
- 134 Peoples Estates
- 135 Beaver Meadows
- 137 Hickory Heights
- 137 Hickory Station Estates
- 137 Hickory Ridge
- 137 Hickory Ridge
- 139 Ravenwoods
- 139 Pine Ridge
- 139 Village Farms
- 140 Benefit
- 141 Downland Acres
- 142 West Landing Estates
- 142 Wild Horse Ridge
- 144 Gertie
- 145 Homestead Acres
- 146 Oak Ridge
- 147 Pleasant Ridge
- 147 Pleasant Ridge North
- 148 Country Mill Run
- 148 St Brides
- 148 Hickory Ridge
- 156 Northwest Acres
- 159 Northwest Landing
- 159 Timberwood
- 160 Riverwood
- 167 Northwest